Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_115423
Title of the Manuscript:	Histopathological and Biochemical Assessment of Annona Muricata and Allium sativum pooled Extract On Testosterone Induced Prostate Hyperplasia in Albino Rats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct
	TOTION OF COMMISSING	the manuscript and highlight that part in the
		manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write
Compulsory REVISION comments		his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	YES	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	YES	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	NOMINOR ADJUSTMENTS HAVE BEEN SUGGESTED FOR ADOPTION.	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	COULD BE REVIEWED FURTHER.	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of	COOLD BE REVIEWED FORTHER.	
additional references, please mention in the review form.	References sufficient and recent EXCEPT THAT ITS PRESENTATION IS NOT IN LINE WITH STANDARD ACCEPTABLE FORMAT.SEE PAPER FOR FURTHER DETAILS.	
(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)		
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	FAIR	
Optional/General comments		
	THE MANUSCRIPT PRESENTATION LACKS PROPER AND ADEQUATE MENTORSHIP IN THE FOLLOWING RESPECT:	
	 BOTANICAL NAMES SHOULD BE IN ITALICS BUT IT IS NOT SO IN THE PRESENT PAPER. 	
	 ET AL SHOULD BE IN ITALICS FOLLOWED BY FULL STOP AND A COMMA. THE PAPER IS REPLETE WITH THE OPPOSITE AND NON-CONFORMITY. 	
	3. BRACKETS ARE INTERCHANGED INDISCRIMINATELY IE () AND []. THERE	
	SHOULD BE CONSISTENCY IN MY VIEW.	
	4. ABBREVIATIONS SHOULD BE MENTIONED IN FULL ON FIRST MENTIONING EG BPH BUT THIS IS NOT SO with many of such.	
	5. SENTENCES ARE LEFT HANGING WHICH DOES NOT CONVEY EXACT MEANING	
	INTENDED.	
	 REPETITIONS OF WORDS IN SENTENCES IN THE MANUSCRIPTS ALLOWANCE STANDARD OF ASSESSMENT BPH AND OTHER INDICES NOT 	
	STATED.	
	8. STATISTICAL TEST STATED APPEARS NOT TO BE USED. EG ANOVA IN THE STUDY.	
	REFERENCES FORMAT SHOULD BE ENTIRELY REWORKED AND NUMBERING SHOULD BE REMOVED.	
	10. DISCUSSION NEED TO BE IMPROVED UPON TO MAKE IT SCIENTIFICALLY APPEALING TO READERS' COMMUNITY AND SCIENTIST WHO MAY WANT TO USE	
	THIS WORK AS A POINT OF REFERENCE. Overall: The entire work should be reviewed further in terms of language of communication to	
	make it publishable.	

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Efe M. Omwirhiren
Department, University & Country	Federal University, College of Education, Nigeria

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)