

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_115423
Title of the Manuscript:	Histopathological and Biochemical Assessment of Annona Muricata and Allium sativum pooled Extract On Testosterone Induced Prostate Hyperplasia in Albino Rats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>Yes, as far as Annona muricata showed cytotoxic effects for the treatment of benign prostate hyperplasia, and the role of Allium sativum as an inhibitor of hyperplasia. Interestingly, these 2 plants pooled are used in a confirmatory data analysis.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>References: 1.change 'uinary' to 'urinary' 12.change 'galic' to 'garlic' provide doi for all items (eg in this last case 'doi:10.1038/sj.bjc.6601918') change '12.Devrim...' to '13.Devrim...' change the numbering by adding one from '15.Orieke' onwards</p>	<p>Authors response: Thank you fro your careful observation charitable comments.</p> <p>As for the observations in the references section: A. Uinary to Urinary B. galic to garlic C. Where applicable doi has been provided D. Numerical changes has been implemented as it concerns numbers from 15 till the end.</p>
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<p>Introduction change 'A.murata' to 'A.muricata' (three times in the final paragraph) Capitalize '2. material and methods' Results change 'table 1' to 'Table 1' ortographic mistake: change 'Non' to 'None' change 'the the animals' to 'the animals' change 'comperatively' to 'comparatively' Discussion change "by by plants" to "by plants" change "the poeed extract of" to "the pooled extract of" Conclusion ortographic mistake: change 'hyperlasia' to 'hyperplasia'</p>	<p>Authors response: Thank your careful observation which has lead to delineation deficiencies in the manuscript: In accordance with the correction we have implemented the changes. A. Murata has been changed to muricata in the three places where the errors occur. B. Table 1 now Table 1. C. Non now None D. The the animals now the animals E. Comperatively now comparatively F. by by plants now “by plants” G. Hyperlasia changed to hyperplasia.</p>
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	