

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_115423
Title of the Manuscript:	Histopathological and Biochemical Assessment of Annona Muricata and Allium sativum pooled Extract On Testosterone Induced Prostate Hyperplasia in Albino Rats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	YES YES YES NO...MINOR ADJUSTMENTS HAVE BEEN SUGGESTED FOR ADOPTION. COULD BE REVIEWED FURTHER. References sufficient and recent EXCEPT THAT ITS PRESENTATION IS NOT IN LINE WITH STANDARD ACCEPTABLE FORMAT.SEE PAPER FOR FURTHER DETAILS.	Authors response: Thank you for the observations and corrections.
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	FAIR	
Optional/General comments	THE MANUSCRIPT PRESENTATION LACKS PROPER AND ADEQUATE MENTORSHIP IN THE FOLLOWING RESPECT: 1. BOTANICAL NAMES SHOULD BE IN ITALICS BUT IT IS NOT SO IN THE PRESENT PAPER. 2. ET AL SHOULD BE IN ITALICS FOLLOWED BY FULL STOP AND A COMMA. THE PAPER IS REplete WITH THE OPPOSITE AND NON-CONFORMITY. 3. BRACKETS ARE INTERCHANGED INDISCRIMINATELY IE (....) AND [.....]. THERE SHOULD BE CONSISTENCY IN MY VIEW. 4. ABBREVIATIONS SHOULD BE MENTIONED IN FULL ON FIRST MENTIONING EG BPH BUT THIS IS NOT SO with many of such. 5. SENTENCES ARE LEFT HANGING WHICH DOES NOT CONVEY EXACT MEANING INTENDED. 6. REPETITIONS OF WORDS IN SENTENCES IN THE MANUSCRIPTS 7. ALLOWANCE STANDARD OF ASSESSMENT BPH AND OTHER INDICES NOT STATED. 8. STATISTICAL TEST STATED APPEARS NOT TO BE USED. EG ANOVA IN THE STUDY. 9. REFERENCES FORMAT SHOULD BE ENTIRELY REWORKED AND NUMBERING SHOULD BE REMOVED. 10. DISCUSSION NEED TO BE IMPROVED UPON TO MAKE IT SCIENTIFICALLY APPEALING TO READERS' COMMUNITY AND SCIENTIST WHO MAY WANT TO USE THIS WORK AS A POINT OF REFERENCE. Overall: The entire work should be reviewed further in terms of language of communication to make it publishable.	Aurthors' response: Thanks for the observations made which has been taken care of as follows: 1. The botanical names has been italicized as you directed. 2. We have italicized 'et als' and have added dots and commas as demanded. 3. The 'brackets' as used in the study are now uniform. 4. Appropriate abbreviations has been provided for where necessary. 5. For the 5 th observation, I will be glad to have the exact sentences are actually hanging so I have them corrected. 6. I have corrected few errors involving repetition of words. 7. It will be more appropriate to be specific on what you felt that is lacking to enable me give corresponding response. 8. Specific observations will be attended to.. 9. Reference pattern was adapted from the previous publications under this very journal. 10. Specific observations will be attended to.

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	