

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_115406
Title of the Manuscript:	Modulatory Functions of Craterispermum schweinfurthi on the Hypothalamic-Pituitary-Gonadal Axis of Male Wistar Rats in Phenyl Hydrazine Induced Testicular Toxicity
Type of the Article	Original research

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1.yes</p> <p>2.Yes</p> <p>3.yes</p> <p>4.YES</p> <p>5.yes</p> <p>6.Vancouver Reference style to e followed</p> <p>6.At the end of the introduction add the objective and hypothesis</p>	<p>Referencing style formatted accordingly.</p> <p>Objective captured properly: the present study attempts an evaluation of the potential modulatory functions of the hydromethanol leaf extract of <i>Craterispermum schweinfurthi</i> on the hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal axis of male wistar rats in phenyl hydrazine induced testicular toxicity. This is with a view to validating the anecdotal use of the leaf of <i>Craterispermum schweinfurthi</i> as an enhancer of reproductive health in our environment.</p>
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>yes</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	