

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_116038
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing the Environmental and Health Implications of Waste Generation and Distribution: A Case Study of Africa's Largest Dumping Site
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b>	<p>The manuscript provides valuable insights into solid waste management practices globally, making it significant for the scientific community's understanding and advancement in waste management.</p> <p>The title is appropriate.</p> <p>Abstract is comprehensive.</p> <p>The statement of the problem should be placed in the introduction of the paper, typically after the background information.</p> <p>Yes. Just minor revisions are needed.</p> <p>Additionally, the reference list should be organized alphabetically by author's last name and formatted consistently to ensure clarity.</p> <p>Some references are more than ten years old, such as the World Commission on Environment and Development (WCED) report from 1987 and the study by Zerbock on waste reduction in developing nations from 2003. While these sources can still provide valuable foundational knowledge, it is important to consider the currency and relevance of the information, especially in a rapidly evolving field like waste management.</p> <p>Follow proper citation and format for references.</p>	<p>The statement of the problem has been included in the introduction.</p> <p>The references have been organized in APA style but still maintain the numbering as this was the style put in place by the journal.</p> <p>The WCED reference and Zerbock was used just to pass more information in the introduction and the authors see it as appropriate since the major citation is in the discussion session.</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	<p>While AI can be a valuable tool for improving the quality and clarity of writing, it is essential to carefully review the formatting generated by the AI. This includes checking the punctuation, capitalization, and other formatting elements to ensure that they are consistent with the style guide and the author's intentions.</p>	<p>The punctuation and capitalization are in check and correctly organized.</p>
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>Where do policymakers come in?</p>	<p>The manuscript findings are to help policymakers take decisions regarding waste generation and distribution across the Sub-Sahara African countries. I believe this is not in the author's place to do that.</p>

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	