

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_115366
Title of the Manuscript:	Career Aspirations of Final and Penultimate-Year Pupils in Some Selected Primary Schools in Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria, West Africa.
Type of the Article	Study

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?  4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?  6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.  <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	  1. Yes, the manuscript fills a crucial gap in research by investigating the career aspirations of primary school pupils in Ibadan, Nigeria. Through qualitative methods, it uncovers factors such as gender, socioeconomic status, academic perception, environment, and teacher influence on these aspirations. This study has practical implications for educational policies and interventions, making it significant for the scientific community.  2. The title of the article is too long and does not emphasize the type of article, suggest changes such as: "Exploring Primary School Pupils' Career Dreams in Ibadan, Nigeria: A Qualitative Approach".  3. Lack of clarity about the purpose of the study in the abstract.  4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.  5. Yes  6. Yes	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	YES	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The Figure is too blurry and it is recommended to redraw the Figure in high definition.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Cheng Yao
Department, University & Country	Nakhon Phanom University, Thailand