

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_115617
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of the COVID-19 pandemic on oral and maxillofacial care in health units in Belém/Brazil
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?  4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?  6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.  (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	  1. This manuscript is important in the scientific community since it buttresses the Covid-19 impact on an aspect of the medical sector. 2. This topic is original and seems to add value to the subject area. The tittle did not exceed the generally accepted number of words and it describes the main message in this article using one straight and accurate sentence. 3. The abstract summarized the article, the techniques used, the results as well as concise conclusion. * The sea green highlights in the abstract area indicates that there should be spaces made between words 4. The Aim and Objectives of this study could be put in a subheading for easy grasp before the Materials and Methods but this is not compulsory. The AND in Materials and Methods should be changed to lower case writing. Proper spaces should be made between words as in the case of the highlights in sea green colours. 5. The manuscript is scientifically correct because the research aim, hypothesis and predictions are clear. The right statistics are used. The sections are not mixed all up. The structure of this article is not confusing and the methods are explained with enough details. 6. The references in this article are recent as the oldest reference was 2020. *Author should ensure that references in the body of the paper appear in the referencing area. The referencing of this study is poor and should be left in alphabetical order instead.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	  1. The language used in this article was not complex and the words especially keywords were not only effective but scientific	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jonathan Nyebuchi
Department, University & Country	Rivers State University, Nigeria