# **Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_113861
Title of the Manuscript:	CERVICAL CANCER SCREENING IN THE METROPOLITAN REGION I, STATE OF PARÁ, AMAZON
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
	піз/пет тееараск пете)
This study addresses a very contemporary issue and would contribute to the existing body of knowledge on cervical cancer.	
The title is simple and appropriate.	
A sentence on recommendations would improve the abstract.	
The sections are clear and well-arranged, but the background section will be more comprehensive if a paragraph on review of existing literature is added.	
The manuscript is descriptive design which is relatively simple and straight to the point.  The references are well written and adequate.	
<ul> <li>It would have been nice to present some descriptive information of the study population for example their sociodemographic characteristics; this would give the scientific community a better understanding of the population.</li> <li>There was no description of Figure 2 in the results section. A commentary on the figure will give more insight on the figure.</li> <li>Although there were variations in coverage between the different municipalities, this was not discussed. It will be more enlightening if the fine datils responsible for this discrepancy were discussed.</li> <li>The authors did not provide any recommendations from the study.</li> </ul>	
The manuscript was written in a language that is clear and understandable	
	This study addresses a very contemporary issue and would contribute to the existing body of knowledge on cervical cancer.  The title is simple and appropriate.  A sentence on recommendations would improve the abstract.  The sections are clear and well-arranged, but the background section will be more comprehensive if a paragraph on review of existing literature is added.  The manuscript is descriptive design which is relatively simple and straight to the point.  The references are well written and adequate.  • It would have been nice to present some descriptive information of the study population for example their sociodemographic characteristics; this would give the scientific community a better understanding of the population.  • There was no description of Figure 2 in the results section. A commentary on the figure will give more insight on the figure.  • Although there were variations in coverage between the different municipalities, this was not discussed. It will be more enlightening if the fine datils responsible for this discrepancy were discussed.  • The authors did not provide any recommendations from the study.

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)

## **Review Form 1.7**

## PART 2:

		<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Fatimah Tsiga-Ahmed
Department, University & Country	Bayero University, Aminu Kano Teaching Hospital, Nigeria

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)