

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_115627
Title of the Manuscript:	In vitro evaluation of rhizobacterial isolates against Fusarium oxysporum f.sp. ricini and Rotylenchulus reniformis infesting Castor
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?  4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?  6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.  <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	  2- In vitro evaluation of rhizobacterial isolates against <u>(List the name of the plant from which the rhizosphere was isolated Types of rhizobacteria )</u> Fusarium oxysporum f.sp. ricini and Rotylenchulus reniformis infesting Castor Why did the various types of literature not indicate in the summary the four most effective rhizobacteria and identified them as Bacillus sp.  3- In the abstract, the author replaces the scientific name of the plant from which rhizobacteria are isolated alternatives to oilseed .  4- yeas  5- yeas  6- yeas Note: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- The author must mention the scientific name of the plant from which rhizobacterial samples were isolated from the rhizosphere, mentioning the plant's growing region, and not just mention oilseed because it is ambiguous.</li><li>- Why did the author not mention any details about the diagnosis of other types of Rhizobacteria and confined himself to only four types? It was not even mentioned whether it was positive or negative for the Gram stain</li></ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yeas	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

Review Form 1.7

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No ethical issues

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mostafa Qahtan Al-Smail</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Tikrit University, Iraq</b>