Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_115776
Title of the Manuscript:	From Zimbabwean Indigenous knowledge: Scientific substantiation of the aphrodisiac efficacy and safety of Pittosporum viridiflorum in male rat models.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
 Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) 	 This paper may be very relevant for the treatment of erectile dysfunction and may even have application in the reproduction of endangered species. Yes. Yes. Explain better how the control group of the study was done. Explain whether the studies were in whole blood or blood serum. It is also suggested to analyse urine samples. Urine collection is suggested to be through metabolic cages. Mention if there are other species of the genus <i>Pittosporum</i> that are also aphrodisiacs. Compare the effect of the aphrodisiac studied with others that are on the market, for example Viagra. It would be interesting for the study to analyse other parts of the plant (leaves, stem, fruits), to find out if they have aphrodisiac effects. It is suggested that for a better analysis of the results, the results should be recorded on video. Mention if the aphrodisiac has any effect on the semen of the mice 	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? Optional/General comments	Yes	

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ofelia Pérez Olvera
Department, University & Country	Universidad Nacional Autonoma de México, México

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)