

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_115032
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative analysis of PVsyst and RETScreen simulations for a grid-connected photovoltaic system
Type of the Article	Research article

## PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>1) This manuscript is important for scientific society particularly for choosing the right simulation package for grid tied solar photo voltaic</p> <p>2) <b>Title</b> <b>Title is suitable, but better to modify is as shown below:</b> 'Performance study of grid connected photovoltaic system under different simulators</p> <p>3) <b>Abstract is good. But some conclusive opinion of author should be added as commented</b></p> <p>4) <b>Structure of manuscript and subsections sounds good. Subsections should be put in bold face letters</b></p> <p>5) Yes</p> <p>6) <b>References are sufficient. But some should be changed with most recent ones (4,5 7)</b></p>	<p>OKAY</p> <p>NOTED</p> <p>OKIAY</p>
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>English quality is nice</p>	<p>OKAY</p>
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p>	<p>All equations should be written using equation editor</p>	<p>OKAY</p>

## PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	