

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_116030
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth and Instability in Agriculture Production in Odisha
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p><b>Title Page:</b></p> <p>The title should be enlightening the contents of the paper.</p> <p>The time period for study and commitment to the journal's controls and directions must be included.</p> <p><b>Abstract:</b></p> <p>The abstract is a key to knowing and identifying the study and it is the title of the quality of the study. The abstract should be concise and informative. It should not exceed 300 words in length. It should briefly describe the purpose of the work, techniques and methods used, major findings with important data and conclusions. The abstract is very large and the researcher must adhere to the journal directions</p> <p><b>Introduction:</b></p> <p>The introduction is very simple and does not fulfill the main purpose of the study. The researcher must provide a factual background, clearly defined problem, proposed solution, a brief literature survey and the scope and justification of the work done. As well as citing studies related to the subject of the study to give quality and a distance to the current study. Add something related to the methodology used.</p> <p><b>Material and methods:</b></p> <p>The researcher must accompany the models used in the methodology paragraph. Give adequate information to allow the experiment to be reproduced. Already published methods should be mentioned with references. Significant modifications of published methods and new methods should be described in detail.</p> <p><b>Results &amp; Discussion:</b></p> <p>Results should be clearly described in a concise manner. The researcher must only include the results of statistical and econometrics analysis and not to include tables and results that have not been recorded in record econometrically and statistically. Provide detailed interpretation of data analysis. This should interpret the significance of the findings of the work. Citations should be given in support of the findings.</p> <p><b>Tables &amp; Figures:</b></p> <p>Tables 1 and 2 can be included in the review and removed from the results</p> <p><b>Conclusions:</b></p> <p>It is very simple and It must be linked to the findings of the researcher (linkage).</p> <p><b>Reference:</b></p> <p>The most modern and most read References must be current and impactful.</p>	<p>The title very much enlightens the contents</p> <p>Time period of the study is reflected in the data section</p> <p>Yes, we have modified as suggested and it is within 300 words.</p> <p>Yes, we have modified it as suggested.</p> <p>Suggestions are incorporated</p> <p>Suggestions are included.</p> <p>Table 1 and 2 are separated with a new section before results and discussion sections.</p> <p>Conclusion is linked to the findings</p> <p>Suggestions included</p> <p>Grammatical errors are corrected</p>

Review Form 1.7

	They must be arranged in alphabetical order.  <b>The tool used in the analysis:</b> E-views or SPSS or NCSS and R?. Why STATA just <b>Handling grammatical errors. Sentence grammar mistakes</b> <b>Organization and arrangement</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No, there are more grammatical errors	Grammatical errors are corrected
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	