

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science               |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_AJRCOS_115526  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | ENHANCING SECURITY USING GPS-GSM MOTORCYCLE TRACKING SYSTEM |
| Type of the Article      |   |

### PART 1: Review Comments

|   | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments<br><br>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)<br><br>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br>(If not please suggest an alternative title)<br><br>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b><br><br>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b><br><br>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b><br><br>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b><br><br><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b> | <p>This manuscript is important for the scientific community</p> <p>The title of the article is suitable</p> <p>The abstract is less comprehensive, it should contain the abstract: background, objectives, methods, results, conclusions</p> <p>Subsections and structures are not appropriate, don't tell too much theory, because the theory explained is general, focus on design. Tell me the state of the art.<br/>This manuscript is scientifically correct, but it has not shown novelty</p> <p>Less references, add more references minimum 60 references. References should be taken from scopus journals with q1 and q2 indexes, with a limit of the last 5 years.</p> <p>Show me the gab of your manuscript, then discuss it in the discussion section</p> |   |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments<br><br>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>   | <p>The quality of articles in English is suitable for scientific communication</p>   |   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments  |  |   |

### PART 2:

|   | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |                                |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Name:                            | Anak Agung Ngurah Gunawan      |
| Department, University & Country | Universitas Udayana, Indonesia |