

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_115526
Title of the Manuscript:	ENHANCING SECURITY USING GPS-GSM MOTORCYCLE TRACKING SYSTEM
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>This manuscript is important for the scientific community</p> <p>The title of the article is suitable</p> <p>The abstract is less comprehensive, it should contain the abstract: background, objectives, methods, results, conclusions</p> <p>Subsections and structures are not appropriate, don't tell too much theory, because the theory explained is general, focus on design. Tell me the state of the art. This manuscript is scientifically correct, but it has not shown novelty</p> <p>Less references, add more references minimum 60 references. References should be taken from scopus journals with q1 and q2 indexes, with a limit of the last 5 years.</p> <p>Show me the gab of your manuscript, then discuss it in the discussion section</p>	<p>I wish to express my appreciation to the reviewer for having time and expertise to contribute in making the work better. I agree to some of the suggestions and addressed as such but I disagree with his/her position on some of the comments. I am grateful for making the work better. Cheers!</p>
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<p>The quality of articles in English is suitable for scientific communication</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	