

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_115100
Title of the Manuscript:	An Unusual Case of Parathyroid Adenoma Manifesting as Recurrent Acute Pancreatitis in a Young Man: Case Report
Type of the Article	Case report

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Please mention either introduction or background in the title. Case report section, third paragraph last few line, PTH is wrongly placed before value of calcium. Did patient received any medical management besides surgery, please mention. Mention the interval and frequency of follow up. What are the parameters that are checked during follow up? Ref 1,5: issue no. missing Ref 3,4, 12: volume number, issue number and page number missing Ref 7: please mention as per format for web sources. Please follow journal guidelines for referencing style.	PTH has been removed before the value of calcium. Patient received furosemide as medical management. We included that in the case report. Patient was clinically followed up for 6 months which we included in the case report. References have been amended as suggested according to journal guidelines.
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Minor revision required.	Few changes have been made to further improve English quality.
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	