

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJAEES_115441 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Navigating the honey markets across Europe and Africa |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u> | 1. -The manuscript is of great importance to a specific community because it concerns major addressing honey import and export markets in Europe and Africa -Study methods are used in this manuscript are reliable with findings presented neatly in tables -The data are analyzed with details which are understandable to readers 2.The title is quite suitable 3. The abstract of the title is quite comprehensive with background information; however, methods, anticipated findings and further recommendations should be added to inform what the author wants to write about. 4.Some comments should be added after each data analysis. A discussion section should be added before a conclusion 5.The manuscript is scientifically correct 6. The references are sufficient with APA style and recent with updated works | All comments are incorporated |
| Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | The language of the article is suitable for scholarly community | Revised as per guidelines. |
| Optional/General comments | Generally speaking, this article complies some essential publish guidelines of a journal. It might be published providing that some revisions have to be made | Revised as per guidelines. |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | No, there are no any ethical issue in the manuscript. |