

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_114573
Title of the Manuscript:	Development of scale to measure perceived impact of Grape beneficiary farmers towards Restructured Weather Based Crop Insurance Scheme
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	  1. Yes, this manuscript offers crucial insights into the effectiveness of crop insurance scheme for grape farmers in Maharashtra. With its innovative development of a novel impact measurement scale, the study makes a significant contribution to agricultural policy and research.  2. Yes, it is fine but it can also be written as "Assessing the Perceived Impact of Grape Beneficiary Farmers towards Restructured Weather-Based Crop Insurance Scheme: Scale Development and Validation in Nasik district of Maharashtra"  3. Yes 4. Yes 5. Yes 6. No, The author should incorporate more references from reputable peer-reviewed papers to enhance the depth and credibility of the research.  In the methodology section, it's crucial to clearly specify the sampling technique employed in the research.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes, but it can be improved slightly.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Kumari Sandeep
Department, University & Country	Dr. Yashwant Singh Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, India