

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_114573
Title of the Manuscript:	Development of scale to measure perceived impact of Grape beneficiary farmers towards Restructured Weather Based Crop Insurance Scheme
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	 1. Yes, this manuscript offers crucial insights into the effectiveness of crop insurance scheme for grape farmers in Maharashtra. With its innovative development of a novel impact measurement scale, the study makes a significant contribution to agricultural policy and research. 2. Yes, it is fine but it can also be written as "Assessing the Perceived Impact of Grape Beneficiary Farmers towards Restructured Weather-Based Crop Insurance Scheme: Scale Development and Validation in Nasik district of Maharashtra" 3. Yes 4. Yes 5. Yes 6. No, The author should incorporate more references from reputable peer-reviewed papers to enhance the depth and credibility of the research. In the methodology section, it's crucial to clearly specify the sampling technique employed in the research.	 In revised version I have mentioned the sampling technique used Few more relevant references have been added
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	 Yes, but it can be improved slightly.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	