

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJ SSE_115872
Title of the Manuscript:	Determinants of access to financial services Among Adults in Tanzania: The Evidence from FinScope Tanzania Survey 2017
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	 1. <u>This manuscript needs to be reviewed by researchers considering that the topics raised in this study are less updated where the research was conducted in 2017.</u> 2. <u>In our opinion, the title of this article is appropriate, but the data used in this article, which can be said to be outdated, will not make a significant contribution.</u> 3. <u>A good abstract should present the purpose of the research, the data and analytical tools used and the specific results of the research.</u> 4. <u>The structure sub-section is appropriate but not yet supported by previous research studies</u> 5. <u>This manuscript is scientifically correct</u> 6. <u>We suggest that researchers use updated references</u> We recommend that the references used in this article use citation management in accordance with the provisions specified by the journal.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	 1. <u>Suitable enough</u>	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Victor Pattiasina
Department, University & Country	University of Yapis Papua, Indonesia