

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_115036
Title of the Manuscript:	A study on costs and returns of potato in Azamgarh district of Eastern Uttar Pradesh.
Type of the Article	Empirical study

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>1. This manuscript provides valuable insights into the costs and returns associated with potato cultivation in Azamgarh district of Eastern Uttar Pradesh. Given the significance of potato as a staple food crop in India and the increasing importance of understanding its economics for farmers and policymakers, this study holds relevance for the scientific community. The detailed analysis of cropping patterns, costs of cultivation, and returns offers practical information that can inform agricultural practices and policies aimed at improving potato production and farm profitability.</p> <p>2. Suitable. And also suggested alternative title could be "Economic Analysis of Potato Cultivation in Azamgarh District, Uttar Pradesh."</p> <p>3. It should be modified. Abstract must include the background, objectives, methodology, findings and conclusion.</p> <p>4. It should be modified. In table 4, the author has worked out all the costs of expenditure separately, but in table 5, the author has grouped all the expenses in Cost A1/A2, Cost B1, Cost B2, Cost C1, Cost C2, and Cost C3 separately. Therefore, the expenses given in Table 4 should be mentioned separately, like in Table 5. The author has only written a summary of the findings in the conclusion part, so the author will focus on the conclusion in this part.</p> <p>5. Yes.</p> <p>6. Yes, the author adds more references In this article, a sufficient amount of information has been mentioned in the introduction part. But the author did not mention the references. So citation / reference should be given accordingly. The review of literature, the problem of the study, and need for the study did not give. And also, the Author must be submitting this article in the following order viz.,</p> <p>1. Abstract : Background, Objective, Method, Findings, recommendation/conclusion Key words : 1-5</p> <p>2. Introduction i. Background ii. identification of the problems and need for the study</p> <p>3. Review of Literature : 1 to 5 review (recently published)</p> <p>4. Objectives of the study</p> <p>5. Hypothesis of the study</p> <p>6. Methodology i. Theoretical Framework ii. Data and variable iii. Analytical Tools iv. Limitation of the study</p> <p>7. Results and Discussion</p> <p>8. Conclusion</p> <p>9. Policy Recommendation / suggestion</p> <p>10. References</p> <p>After making all the above corrections and alternatives the article may be published.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Yes	

Review Form 1.7

Optional/General comments	-	
---------------------------	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	A. Saravanan
Department, University & Country	PSG College of Arts & Science, India