Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	**Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JAMPS_115432**
Title of the Manuscript:	**CORRELATION OF GLASGOW COMA SCALE WITH HEAD COMPUTED TOMOGRAPHIC FINDINGS IN PATIENTS WITH TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY IN A TERTIARY HOSPITAL IN NNEWI**
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments **1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?** (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) **2. Is the title of the article suitable?** (If not please suggest an alternative title) **3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?** **4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?** **5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?** **6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.** **(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)**	**Yes, this study shows the importance of inclusion of Rotterdam score in the initial evaluation of head injury patients.** **Yes** **No, Abstract could have been written better.** **Yes** **Yes, reference no 13 appears to be incomplete and needs authors' attention. The journal title "International research of research in medical science" doesn't make any sense.** **Additional comments:** **Apart from the above mentioned, there are few concerns regarding this article.** 1. Pearson's correlation is a parametric statistical method for assessing correlation between continuous variables which are normally distributed. In this article, both variables are categorical, Spearman's correlation would be appropriate. 2. The authors should provide the correlation coefficient (r) value to convey the degree of association as they have mentioned strong association between them. **3.** The secondary objective of this study "whether it could be used as a prognostic tool to govern mode of treatment and forestall unfavourable outcome" has not been evaluated. The role of the Rotterdam score was not assessed with respect to surgical decision or the outcome of the patients. So, they need not mention these objective.	
Minor REVISION comments **1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	The language needs extensive correction.	
Optional/General comments	Nil	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Saravanan Sadhasivam**
Department, University & Country	**All India Institute of Medical Science, India**