

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_115114
Title of the Manuscript:	Do dynamometric variables of the pelvic floor muscles differ between women with and without stress urinary incontinence? A blind, cross-sectional study.
Type of the Article	Original Research

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<p>Yes, the manuscript highlights the usage of a device to measure endurance of pelvic floor muscles which can help in estimating SUI.</p> <p>Yes, the title is appropriate according to the study.</p> <p>Yes, the abstract is comprehensive. However, it would be better to add recommendations ased on the results and conclusion.</p> <p>It would be better to mention some of the important findings separately from the table in the result section.</p> <p>Yes, it is scientifically correct.</p> <p>References given are mostly recent.</p> <p>Other Suggestions: 1. Kindly give explanation for the accuracy of the questionnaire used to exclude patients with urgency or overactive bladder as sometimes patients present with mixed symptoms including both urgency and SUI. 2. Kindly clarify the term used-Important ogran prolapse or pelvic organ prolapse in methods section. 3. Kindly give explanation for the term used as 'healthy controls' mentioned in table 1 and table 2. If patients have other conditions such as urgency or overactive bladder, can they be labelled as healthy controls?</p>	<p>Revised</p> <p>Noted</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	<p>This manuscript needs revision for spelling and grammatical errors</p>	<p>Corrected</p>
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>I appreciate this study for being clear and simple and also for its clinical value.</p>	<p>Okay</p>

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	