Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_114713
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Cardiovascular Efficacy & Safety of NSAIDs: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community. It reported the comparative cardiovascular efficacy and safety of NSAIEDs.	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes the title is suitable	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	Add conclusion to the abstract	
 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) 	Yes, subsections and structure are appropriate. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct. References are sufficient and recent	
Minor REVISION comments1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Few typo errors may be corrected in final editing.	
Optional/General comments	I recommend accept with minimal changes that include: Cite search restricted to English language published RCTs to avoid language bias. Cite the importance of sensitivity analysis to evaluate the robustness of results and reasons behind lacking in the manuscript. Discuss several postulated mechanisms of NSAIDs-induced increased cardiovascular risk such as imbalance between prostacyclin and thromboxane A2etc. Discuss the generally imprecise estimation of rate ratio for comparisons with placebo in RCTs	

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hassan A. Shora
Department, University & Country	Port-Said University, Egypt

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)