

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_115558
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Micro-nutrients on Growth and Development of Fodder Crops under Water Stress Condition: A Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<p>1-Yes, the manuscript holds significant importance for the scientific community. It delves into a critical aspect of agricultural science by exploring the influence of micro-nutrients on the growth and development of fodder crops under water stress conditions. This research addresses a pressing issue in agriculture, as water stress increasingly threatens crop productivity worldwide. By shedding light on the role of micro-nutrients in mitigating the adverse effects of water stress on fodder crops, this manuscript provides valuable insights that can contribute to enhancing agricultural sustainability and food security.</p> <p>2- The title of the article appears suitable</p> <p>3- The abstract is comprehensive</p> <p>4- yes , the sub-sections and the structure of the manuscript are appropriate; ✓ The title and abstract of the article are appropriate ✓ The study methods are sound and appropriate ✓ The conclusion is precise and supported by the content</p> <p>5-Yes, based on the information provided, it seems that the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6- The references cited are indeed correct, appropriate, and accurate. However, it's important to note that the list contains a mixture of both recent and older sources. The 58 references cited in the article span from 2002 to 2022, with six of them being less than five years old.</p>	<p>We have upgraded the literature by altering 15 new citations.</p>
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<p>I think the manuscript demonstrates a high standard of English, suitable for academic communication. The language is clear, concise and well suited to academic discourse. The article effectively communicates its message and conclusions, ensuring accessibility and comprehension for its intended audience of academic readers."</p>	<p>The language standard has been maintained throughout.</p>
Optional/General comments	<p>This article delves into the critical significance of micronutrients, especially in forage crop production, emphasizing their profound influence on crop growth, yield, and quality, alongside their role in fostering sustainable farming practices.</p> <p>This information is essential in economic and environmental contexts, particularly in the context of the challenges posed by climate change.</p>	<p>Nil</p>

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	N/A