Effect of soil and foliar application of humic acid on nutrient uptake, grain and straw yield of black gram(Vigna mungo L.)under Inceptisols

Abstract

The field experiment was carried out to study "Effect of humic acid on soilnutrient availibility, yield and quality Black Gram (Vigna mungo L.)", cultivar BDU-1duringkharifseason of the year 2022 at departmental farm of Soil ScienceandAgricultural Chemistry, College of Agriculture, Latur. The experiment was laid out inrandomized block design with three replications and eight treatments viz., T₁ (RDF), T₂(RDF + Foliar application of humic acid @ 0.2 % at 20 & 35 DAS), T₃(RDF + soil application of humic acid @ 10 kg ha⁻¹), T₄(RDF + soilapplication of humic acid @ 15 kg ha⁻¹), T₅ (RDF + soil application of acid @ 20kgha⁻¹), $T_6(T_3+$ humic foliarapplicationofhumicacid@0.2%at20&35DAS),T₇(T₄+ foliar application of humic acid @ 0.2% at 20 & 35 DAS), T₈ (T₅ + foliar application of humicacid@0.2% at 20 & 35 DAS). The field study indicated that the nutrient uptakeandcontent and grain and straw yield ofblack gramcropweresignificantlyinfluenceddueto soil and foliar application ofhumic acid and RDF. The uptake of N, P and K were recorded at harvest. Significant and maximum uptake of N, P and K were noticed with application of treatment T₈ (RDF + soil application of humic acid @ 20 kg ha⁻¹+ foliar application of humic acid @ 0.2% at 20 and 35 DAS), whereas the T₁ (RDF) showed least values grain and straw yield significantly affected due to T₈ (RDF + soil application of humic acid @ 20 kg ha⁻¹+ foliar application of humic acid @ 0.2% at 20 and 35 DAS) and the lowest grain and straw yield were recorded in T₁ (RDF). Thus, it can be concluded that the soil and foliar application of humic acid increase the nutrient uptake and grain and straw yield. The significantly superior result recorded by treatment T₈ (RDF + soil application of humic acid @ 20 kg ha⁻¹ + foliar application of humic acid @ 0.2% at 20 and 35 DAS) next to this treatment T₇ (RDF + soil application of humic acid @ 10 kg ha⁻¹ + foliar application of humic acid @ 0.2% at 20 and 35 DAS) was best option.

(Key words: Humic Acid, nutrient uptake, Black gram, Grain and Straw).

Introduction

Black gram is a type of legume that is widely cultivated and consumed in various parts of the world. It is believed to have originated in the Indian subcontinent and is one of the oldest cultivated legumes in the world. It is an excellent source of protein (24%) with lysine also contains carbohydrate (67%), fiber (3.5%), making it a

healthy food choice for vegetarians and vegans. It also contains significant fat (1.74%) and major vitamins and minerals, including folate, iron, magnesium, potassium, and zinc. In India, it is commonly used to make dal, a lentil soup that is a staple food in many households. The United Nations declared 2016 as "International Year of Pulses" (IYP) to heighten public awareness of the nutritional benefits of pulses as part of sustainable food production aimed at food security and nutrition (*Mohanty and Satyasai*, 2015).

Black gram producing major states in India are Andhra Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh, Rajasthan, Uttar Pradesh, Tamilnadu and Maharashtra. In kharif 2021-22, black gram production was 20.5 lakh tonnes (1st advance estimates) in an area of 39.43 lakh hectares (Anonymous, 2021). Andhra Pradesh produced 3.65 lakh according to recent estimates during 2021-22, black gram was grown in 3.93 lakh hectares with a production of 3.65 lakh tonnes and productivity was 929 kg ha⁻¹. The major black gram producing districtsin Marathwada region are Parbhani, Nanded, Latur, Hingoli, Beed, Sambhajinagar and Dharashiv. The area under black gram in Latur districts is about 1,017.13 hundred ha⁻¹, with total production 497.84 hundred tones in per hectare productivity of 489.46 kg ha⁻¹. Maintaining food production for a growing global population without sacrificing natural resources for future generations is one of the greatest challenges for agricultural science, even compared with the green revolution in the 20th century. Within this context, humic substance- based products may provide a potential technology to promote plant growth and plant adaptation to new ways of food production.

Humic acid is a type of organic matter that is found in soils, peat, and othernaturalsources. It is composed of complex molecules that are formed by the decay of plant and animal material over long periods of time. It has a widerange of applications in agriculture, and other fields, and is considered to be an important component of healthy soils. It is a complex mixture of organic compounds that includes a variety of functional groups, such as carboxylic acids, phenolic groups, and quinones. It is formed by the decay of organic matter. Its composition varies depending on the source of the material. Generally, humicacid has adark brown to black colorand is highly soluble in water.

Humic acid has a wide range of functions and benefits, particularlyin agricultureand soilmanagement. Itcanimprovesoilstructureandfertility, increase nutrient uptake by plants, and promote the growth of beneficial microorganisms. It can also help to reduce soil erosion and water runoff, and can enhance the resilience of crops to stress

and disease. One of the key function of humic acid is to improve soil structure. It can act as a binding agent, helping to bind together soil particles and create larger aggregates. This can improvesoil porosity and aeration, which in turn can enhance water infiltration and retention. Humic acid can also help to reduce soil compaction, which can be a major problem in many agricultural soils. Humic acid can also help to increase nutrient uptake by plants. It can chelate nutrients, such as iron, zinc, and manganese, making them more available to plant roots (Islam 2005). It can also help to buffer soil pH, which can improve the availability of certain nutrients, such as phosphorus. In addition to these functions, humic acid can also help to promote the Humicacid growth beneficial microorganisms in the soil. improvessoilquality,enhanceplantgrowth, yield, and quality. Italso increase plant resistance to stress, disease, and pests. It can chelate, orbind with nutrients such as iron, calcium and magnesium, making them more available to plants. It stimulate the growth ofbeneficial microorganisms in soil, such as bacteria and fungi, which further improve soil health and nutrient cycling (*De melo 2016*).

In recent years, applications of solution and solid state product of humic acid received the most attention for higher crop yield, savings of fertilisers and reduced losses to the environment on several crops.

Materials and Methods

The experiment was conducted during kharif 2022 at Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry Department Research Farm, College of Agriculture, Latur, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani. the test variety was BDU-1, sown in the first week of july and harvested in the final week of september. The experimental field's soil had good drainage neutral reaction and a black color. There were eight treatments and three replications in the randomized block design experiment. The treatment comprised of T₁- RDF,T₂- RDF+foliarapplicationofhumicacid@ 0.2%at20 &35 DAS, T₃-RDF+soilapplicationof kgha⁻¹, humicacid@10 T₄-RDF+soilapplication of humicacid@15kgha⁻¹ ,T₅-RDF+soilapplication of humicacid@20 kgha⁻¹, T_{6} T₃+foliarapplication ofhumicacid @0.2%at20 &35 DAS,T₇-T₄+foliar application ofhumicacid@ 0.2%at20 &35 DAS, T₈- T₅+foliar application ofhumicacid@ 0.2%at20 &35 DAS. The experiment's yields, growth analysis and various morphological observations were recorded at 30,45 and harvest stages in order to assess the treatment's impact. For black gram, fertilizer dosage recommendations are 25:50:25 kg N and P₂O₅, K₂O ha⁻¹, respectively. The crop was threshed manually, with grain and straw being collected in separate plots.

Results and Discussion

The results obtained from the present investigation as well as relevant discussion have been summarized under following heads.

1. Impactofhumicacidonnutrientcontentandnutrientuptakeofblack gram.

1.1 Nitrogen

The content and uptake of nitrogen significantly affected after application of humic acid through soil application and foliar spraying. Treatment T₈ (RDF + soil application @ 20 kg ha⁻¹ + foliar application of humic acid @ 0.2 %) which showed significant and maximum N content from straw and grain noted as 1.31 and 3.49 % respectively as compared to all other treatments, which was followed by Treatments ha⁻¹ T₇(RDF (a) 15 +soil application kg foliarapplicationofhumicacid@0.2%)whichnotedas1.18and3.30% respectively. Where least N content from straw and grain recorded due to $T_1(RDF)$ noted as 0.56 and 2.56 %respectively.

Due to application of humic acid N uptake in straw, grain and total uptake were significantly affected. Treatment $T_8(RDF + soil$ application @ 20 kg ha⁻¹ + foliar application of humic acid @ 0.2%) was found significantly superior in termsofNuptakeinstraw, grain and total uptakeand their values recorded as 27.19,46.65 and 73.84 kg ha⁻¹ respectively as compared to all other treatments. Which was followed by treatments $T_7(RDF + soil$ application @ 15 kg ha⁻¹ + Foliar application of humic acid @ 0.2%) and values noted as 22.95, 42.82 and 65.77 kg ha⁻¹, Where least N content from straw and grain recorded due to $T_1(RDF)$ noted as 7.52, 24.49 and 32.02 kg ha⁻¹ N uptake in straw, grain and total uptake respectively.

Combined use of RDF soil and foliar application of HA showed better performance as compared only soil application. The higher content and uptake may beduetoincreaseddevelopmentofsecondaryrootswithimprovedpermeabilitythus better absorbtion of nutrients takes place. Similar result found by, El-Syed *etal.*(2017) and Lingaraju*et al.* (2016)

Table 1: Nitrogen content and uptake in grain and straw of black gram crop after harvest as influenced with application of humic acid.

Treatments	NContent(%)		NUptake(kg ha ⁻¹)		
11 caunents	Grain S		Grain	Straw	Total
T ₁ : RDF	2.56	0.56	24.49	7.52	32.02
T2:RDF+foliarapplication of					
humicacid@0.2%(@20&35 DAS)					
	2.62	0.62	26.52	8.71	35.24
T3:RDF+soilapplication of					
humicacid @ 10kgha ⁻¹	2.73	0.76	28.97	11.84	40.81
T4:RDF+soil application ofhumic					
acid @15 kgha ⁻¹	2.83	0.84	32.56	13.64	46.20
T ₅ :RDF+soilapplication of					
humicacid @ 20kgha ⁻¹	3.06	0.96	36.41	16.73	53.14
T ₆ :T ₃ +foliarapplicationof					
humicacid @0.2%(20&35 DAS)	3.2	1.05	39.74	19.64	59.38
T7: T4 + foliar application of					
humicacid@0.2%(20&35DAS)	3.30	1.18	42.82	22.95	65.77
T ₈ :T ₅ +foliarapplicationof					
humicacid @0.2%(20&35 DAS)	3.49	1.31	46.65	27.19	73.84
SE±	0.014	0.022	0.819	0.509	0.929
CDat 5%	0.043	0.069	2.485	1.544	2.818

1.2 Phosphorus

Phosphorus content from straw and grain shows significant effect. Treatment $T_8(RDF+soil\ application\ @\ 20\ kg\ ha^{-1}+foliarapplication\ of\ humic\ acid\ @\ 0.2\ \%)$ was found significantly superior in respect of phosphorus content from straw and grain,

noted as 0.35 and 0.73 % respectively as compared to all other treatments, which was followed by treatments $T_7(RDF + soil$ application @ 15 kg ha⁻¹ + foliar application of humic acid @ 0.2%) values noted as 0.32 and 0.69 % of phosphorus from grain and straw. Where least phosphorus content in straw and grain recorded due to T_1 and noted as 0.17 and 0.43 % respectively.

Data showed that application of humic acid P uptake from straw, grain and total uptakesignificantlyaffected. Treatment T₈(RDF+soil application@ 20kgha⁻¹+ foliar application of humic acid @ 0.2 %) was found significantly superior in respect of P uptake in straw, grain and total uptake (7.38, 9.75 and 17.14 kg ha⁻¹ respectively) ascompared to allother treatments, which was followed by Treatments T₇(RDF+ soil application @ 15 kg ha⁻¹ + foliar application of humic acid @ 0.2 %) noted as 6.22, 8.02 and 15.23 kg ha⁻¹ respectively. Where least P content from straw and grain recorded due to T₁(RDF) recorded as 2.24, 4.17 and 5.61 kg ha⁻¹ respectively. Combined use of soil and foliar application show better performance as compare to alone soil application. Similar finding has been published by Hemati *et al.* (2022), Kiran *et al.* (2020) and Tuncturk *et al.* (2016).

Table 2: Phosphorus content and uptake in grain and straw of black gram crop after harvest as influenced with application of humic acid.

Treatments	PContent(%)		PUptake(kg ha ⁻¹)		
Treatments	Grain	Straw	Grain	Straw	Total
T ₁ : RDF	0.43	0.17	4.17	2.24	5.61
T2: RDF + foliar application of					
humicacid@0.2 % (@20 &35	0.49	0.19	4.95	2.75	7.7
DAS)					
T ₃ :RDF+soilapplication of	0.50	0.20	5.37	3.21	8.58
humicacid @ 10kgha ⁻¹	0.50	0.20	3.37	3.21	0.50
T4:RDF+soil applicationof	0.56	0.22	6.52	3.59	10.12
humicacid@ 15 kgha ⁻¹	0.56	0.22	0.52	3.39	10.12
T ₅ :RDF+soilapplication of	0.60	0.253	7.16	4.36	11.53
humicacid @ 20kgha ⁻¹	0.60	0.255	/.10	4.30	11.55
T ₆ :T ₃ +foliarapplicationof	0.64	0.20	0.02	5.25	12.05
humicacid @0.2%(20&35 DAS)	0.64	0.28	8.02	5.25	13.27

T7: T4 + foliar application of humicacid@0.2%(20&35DAS)	0.69	0.32	8.94	6.22	15.23
T ₈ :T ₅ +foliarapplicationof humicacid @0.2%(20&35 DAS)	0.73	0.356	9.75	7.38	17.14
SE±	0.015	0.019	0.242	0.369	0.533
CDat 5%	0.0457	0.059	0.736	1.119	1.619

1.3 Potassium

Due to application of humic acid K content in straw and grain shows significant effect. Treatment T₈(RDF + soil application @ 20 kg ha⁻¹ + foliar application of humic acid @ 0.2 %) which superiorly significant in terms of K content in straw and grain which recorded as 0.78 and 1.74 % respectively as compared to all other treatments, which was followed by treatments T₇(RDF + soil application @ 15 kg ha⁻¹ + foliar application of humic acid @ 0.2 %) values recorded as 0.72 and 1.64 % respectively and then T_6 (RDF application **@** soil kgha⁻ ¹+foliarapplicationofhumicacid@0.2%)valuesrecordedas0.66and1.55% respectively. Where least K content from straw and grain recorded due to T₁(RDF) and noted as 0.41 and 1.25 % respectively.

Due to application of humic acid K uptake from straw, grain and total uptake showed significant effect. Treatment $T_8(RDF + soil$ application @ 20 kg ha⁻¹ +foliar application of humic acid @ 0.2%) which found significantly superior in respect of K uptake in straw, grain and total uptake noted as 16.29, 23.34 and 39.63 kg ha⁻¹ respectively as compared to all other treatments, which was followed by treatments $T_7(RDF + soil$ application @ 15 kg ha⁻¹ + foliar application of humicacid @ 0.2 %) which was noted as 13.99, 21.25 and 35.25 kg ha⁻¹ K uptake from straw, grain and total uptake and then $T_6(RDF + soil$ application @ 10 kg ha⁻¹ + foliar application of humic acid @ 0.2 %) which was noted as 12.24, 19.28 and 31.52 kg ha⁻¹ K uptake from straw, grain and total uptake respectively. Where least K content from straw andgrainrecordeddueto $T_1(RDF)$ noted as 5.54,11.98 and 17.53 kg ha⁻¹ respectively.

This could because of high absorption, translocation and assimilation of micro and macronutrients which enhanced by humic acid sources. Similar finding was

proposed by followings, DonderandTogay(2021) Kiran *et al.* (2020) Osman and Rady (2012).

Table3:Potassiumcontentanduptakeingrainandstrawofblack gramcrop after harvest as influenced with application of humic acid.

Treatments	KContent(%)		KUptake(kg ha ⁻¹)		
	Grain	Straw	Grain	Straw	Total
T ₁ : RDF	1.25	0.41	11.98	5.54	17.53
T ₂ :RDF+foliarapplication of humicacid@ 0.2% (@20&35 DAS)	1.29	0.47	13.11	6.63	19.74
T ₃ :RDF+soilapplication of humicacid @ 10kgha ⁻¹	1.35	0.51	14.38	8.02	22.41
T4:RDF+soil application of humic acid @15 kgha ⁻¹	1.44	0.56	16.64	9.01	25.65
T5:RDF+soilapplication of humicacid @ 20kgha ⁻¹	1.51	0.62	18.03	10.74	28.78
T ₆ :T ₃ +foliarapplicationof humicacid @0.2%(20&35 DAS)	1.55	0.66	19.28	12.24	31.52
T7:T4 +foliarapplicationof humicacid @0.2%(20&35 DAS)	1.64	0.72	21.25	13.99	35.25
T ₈ :T ₅ +foliarapplicationof humicacid @0.2%(20&35 DAS)	1.74	0.78	23.34	16.29	39.63
SE±	0.025	0.021	0.419	0.573	0.845
CDat 5%	0.077	0.064	1.273	1.740	2.564

2. Effectofhumic acidonstrawand grainyield ofblackgramcrop.

2.1 Grain yield

Effect of soil and foliar application of humic acid along with full dose of RDF was clearly noted that humic acid has beneficial effect on grain yield and increased yield as

compared to treatment $T_1(RDF)$. Grain yield was varied from 957.26 to 1337.12 kg ha⁻¹. Combined use of soil and foliar spray produces maximum yield. Treatment $T_8(T_5+$ foliar application of humic acid @ 0.2 %) was significantly superior over rest of all treatment and gave maximum yield recorded as 1337.12 kg ha⁻¹, Which was followed by treatments $T_6(T_3+$ foliar application of humic acid @ 0.2 %) and $T_5(RDF+$ soil application of humic acid @ 20 kg ha⁻¹) which gave 1242.16 and 1188.97 kg ha⁻¹ grain yield respectively. Whereas treatment $T_7(T_4+$ foliar application of humic acid @ 0.2 %) was found at par with T_8 in terms of grain yield and recorded as 1295.34 kg ha⁻¹. $T_1(RDF)$ produced 957.26 kg ha⁻¹ grain yield and recorded least grain yield as compared to other.

ApplicationofFYM,RDF,soilandfoliarapplicationofhumicacidincreases absorption of nutrients and water, translocation of nutrients ultimately produces high foliage, pods, reproductive parts cause high grain yield. This may also because of nitrogen play important role in vegetative growth aids to stimulates chlorophyll and branching. Phosphorous aids to development of flower initiation, root development, decreases lodging, seed formation etc. Potassium aids in catalysing of enzymatic processes, translocation of assimilated sugars and increases drought resistance. Also superior data recorded might be attributed to increased dry matter accumulation in reproductive parts and formation of higher sink capacity with the addition oforganics like FYM and humic acid. The results are as conformity with findings of Hivare et al. (2019) and Girijesh (2019)

2.2 Strawyield

Combined application of soil and foliar spray produces maximum strawyield. Treatment $T_8(T_5+$ foliar application of humic acid @ 0.2 %) were significantly superior rest of all treatment and gave straw 2068.37 kg ha⁻¹. over yield which was followed by treatments $T_6(T_3 + \text{foliar application of humicacid} @ 0.2\%)$ T_5 (RDF + soil application of humic acid @ 20 kg ha⁻¹) gave straw yield 1857.54 and 1730.29 kg ha⁻¹ respectively. Whereas treatment T₇(T₄ + foliar application of humic acid @ 0.2 %) is at par with T₈in terms of straw yield and recorded as 1941.11 kg ha⁻¹. T₁(RDF) produced 1327.63 kg ha⁻¹ straw yield and recorded least straw yield as compared to other.

These results are as conformity with findings of Dange *et al.* (2016) Tripura *et al.* (2017) and Kamalakannan (2017).

Table4: Grain and Strawyield ofblack gram as influencewith application of humic acid.

	Grain	Straw
Treatments	yield	yield
	(kgha ⁻¹)	(kgha ⁻¹)
T ₁ : RDF	957.26	1327.63
T ₂ :RDF+foliarapplication ofhumicacid @0.2 %		
(@20 &35 DAS)	1012.34	1407.40
T ₃ :RDF+soilapplication of humicacid@10kgha ⁻¹	1061.72	1553.65
T4:RDF+soil applicationofhumicacid@15kgha ⁻¹	1150.99	1612.53
T ₅ :RDF+soilapplication of humicacid@20kgha ⁻¹	1188.97	1730.29
T ₆ :T ₃ +foliarapplicationof humicacid @0.2%		
(20&35 DAS)	1242.16	1857.54
T7:T4+foliarapplicationof humicacid @0.2%		
(20&35 DAS)	1295.34	1941.11
Ts:T5+foliarapplicationof humicacid @0.2%		
(20&35 DAS)	1337.12	2068.37
SE±	28.09	45.57
CDat 5%	85.20	138.23

Conclusion

- The content and uptake of nutrients by grain and straw was maximum due to greater supply of nutrients. Humic acid forms chelate and reduces losses and make available to plants. High cation exchange capacity resulted high absorption of nutrients.
- The significant increase in grain and straw yield of black gram was due to application
 of RDF with soil and foliar application of humic acid which mitigates scarcity,
 increased absorption effectively and increased photosynthetic activity which leads to
 higher grain and straw yield.

References

Anonymous,(2021).http://agricoop.nic.in.Accessedonoctomber28, 2023.

Dandge, M. S., Peshattiwar, P. D., Ingle, Y. V., & Mohod, P. V. (2016).

- Effect of different application method of humic acid on nodulation and seed yield ofsoybean (Glycine max L.). International Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 12(2), 339-343.
- De Melo, B. A. G., Motta, F. L., & Santana, M. H. A. (2016). Humic acids: Structural properties and multiple functionalities for novel technological developments. Materials Science and Engineering: C, 62, 967-974.
- Donder, E., &Togay, Y. (2021). The effect of humic acid and potassium applications on the yield and yield components in chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.). ISPEC Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 5(3), 568-574.
- El-Syed, F. R., Hoda, A. G. & Yassen, M. Y. (2017). Growth, nodulation, yield and mineral tissue content of peanut (Arachis hypogaea L.) in response to foliar and coating application of humic acid and plant growth promoting rhizobacteria. Journal of Environmental Studies and Researches. 6(2), 195-202.
- Hemati, A., Alikhani, H. A., Ajdanian, L., Babaei, M., Asgari Lajayer, B., & van Hullebusch, E. D. (2022). Effect of different enriched vermicomposts, humic acid extract and indole-3-acetic acid amendments on the growth of Turnip (Brassica napus), International Journal of Bio-resource and Stress Management. 9(3), 456-459.
- Hivare, V. S., Deotale, R. D., Dhongade, A. P., Pise S. E., Raut D. A. &Blesseena(2019).Morpho-physiologicaltraits and yieldinsafflower as influenced by foliar application of humic acid and NAA. *Journal of Soil and Crop.* 29(2), 348-353.
- Islam, K. M. S., Schuhmacher, A., & Gropp, J. M. (2005). Humic acid substances in animal agriculture. Pakistan Journal of nutrition, 4(3), 126-134.
- Kamalakannan, P. (2017). Effect of integrated plant nutrients supplythrough organic and inorganic sources on productivity of groundnut in loamy sand soil. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*. 1182-1184.

- Kiran, S. K., Prakash, S. S., Krishnamurthy, R., Yogananda, S. B., & Shivakumar, K. V. (2020). Effect o f humic acid and multimicronutrient mixture with STCR fertilizer dose on nutrient content and uptake by cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.) in southern dry zone (Zone 6) of Karnataka. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*. 9(4), 493-498.
- Lingaraju, N. N., Hunshal, C. S., &Salakinkop, S. R. (2016). Eeffect of biofertilizersandfoliarapplicationoforganicacidsonyield,nutrient uptakeandsoilmicrobialactivityinsoybean(*Glycinemax L.*). *Legume Research-An International Journal*. 39(2), 256-261.
- Mohanty, S., &Satyasai, K. J. (2015). Feeling the pulse, Indian pulses sector. NABARD Rural pulse, 10, 1-4.
- Osman, A. S., & Rady, M. M. (2012). Ameliorative effects of sulphur and humic acid on the growth, anti-oxidant levels, and yields of pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) plants grown in reclaimed saline soil. *The Journal of HorticulturalScience and Biotechnology*, 87(6), 626-632.
- Tripura,P.,Kumar,S.,&Verma,R.(2017).Effectofpotassiumhumateand bio-inoculants on nutrient content, uptake and quality of cowpea [Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp]. Journal of Food Legumes, 30(3), 195-197.
- Tuncturk, R., Kulaz, H. &Tuncturk, M. (2016). effect of humic acid applications on some nutrient contents of soybean (*Glycine max* L.) cultivars. Technological aspects of oxidation processes of special interest to food and agrochemical industries. 39(1), 503-510.

