

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_116005
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of microbial enriched organic manures with inorganic nutrients on the physiological attributes of chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>Yes, to explore the effects of combining on the growth rate</p> <p>To some extent, seem to be complicated or long. I think to be changed into, Influence of combining organic with inorganic manures on the physiological attributes of chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.)</p> <p>To some extent needed be write as researchable abstract</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, needed to be rewrite scientifically.</p>	<p>The title has been rephrased as "Influence of microbial enriched organic manures with inorganic nutrients on the physiological attributes and yield of chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.)", as we have now included the yield component based on the recommendation of the reviewer. Otherwise, we as authors, strongly feel that this topic is quite appropriate and meaningful.</p> <p>The abstract has been revised as per the comment given by the reviewer.</p> <p>As pointed out in the comments by the reviewer, additional references has been included in appropriate places.</p>
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<p>To some extent</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>The part of marital and methods was very detailed, pls. summarized. The final effect of this experiment on the yield or production it's not clear.</p>	<p>The yield data has been included now, in the table as well as in the text. Earlier we thought it as redundant, as the paper was focusing only on the growth physiological attributes alone</p>

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	