

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_115995
Title of the Manuscript:	Enhancing summer soybean yield through evaluation of herbicidal weed management options
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	Additional comments <ul style="list-style-type: none">Writing is casual, writing needs to be improved with use of technical words.Writing of treatment detail should be refinedNo data/table related to phytotoxicity is given, yet author has mentioned in the manuscriptDon't just mention use of this and that treatment must have reduce the weed density,dry weight. Explain it with proper reasoningRefer published article of this journal for further assistanceConclusion should be re-written	Thanks for the review. I have revised my manuscript as per the comments. I am happy that reviewer has thoroughly checked my manuscript and highlighted important changes. <ul style="list-style-type: none">Yes, I have improved manuscript with use of technical words.Yes, treatment detail also refined.Yes, I have removed phytotoxicity related description as I have not included any data table here in this research paper.Yes, I have given and re-added proper reasoning in brief to avoid unnecessary hypothetical explanations.Yes, I have referred many published articles of IJECC.Yes, I have re-written conclusion as per the outcome of research and our farmers' need.
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		-
Optional/General comments		Good experience with peer review process of IJECC.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	NO