

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_115995
Title of the Manuscript:	Enhancing summer soybean yield through evaluation of herbicidal weed management options
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	 1. The manuscript written is an idea describing the progress of the field of agricultural crops soybean useful for increasing food 2. The title of the article is in accordance with the contents 3. The abstract article is complete but it but still need the equipped with data 4. The structure of the manuscript still needs additions and repair 5. The script needs to be in need setting and spacing to an explanation 6. The references are enough to support the article	 I admire the reviewers' efforts to make my manuscript more technical. I have tried my best to incorporate all the suggestions given by the reviewer. 1. Thanks for screening the idea of the research. 2. Thanks for considering the title of the article. 3. Yes, all the necessary data are furnished related to abstract. 4. Yes, the structure of the manuscript is repaired according to the comments. 5. Yes, further short explanations added in the script. 6. Thanks for also looking towards review & references.
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	 1. The quality of the writing is quite good	 Thanks. I feel that after incorporating reviewers' suggestions my manuscript improved.
Optional/General comments	 This article still needs improvement if it is published in a journal and need to check again the similarity	 Yes, implemented. We have studied the popular herbicide with different doses and combination in summer soybean under our climatic conditions.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	NO