

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_115239
Title of the Manuscript:	Cesarean Sectional Management of Delayed Case of Dystocia Due to Uterine Torsion in Mehsana Buffalo
Type of the Article	Case Report / Case Study

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<p>Yes, this manuscript has benefit for scientific communities like veterinarians, animal welfare professionals though it needs minor corrections</p> <p>Yes, the title is good and suitable</p> <p>From the context of the body, it is comprehensive though the methodology requires some corrections  Abstract should be re written again after the comments addressed and incorporated in the material and methods  <b>Generally good, but some sections to be corrected</b>  <b>1. In introduction, some information regarding uterine torsion should be addressed</b>  <b>2. In material and methods</b>  You should made and list the pre surgical assessments  You should list and write the diagnostic tools you applied  If the buffalo was fatigue, how could you correct prior to operation  You should list and write all surgical instruments used  In your clinical case  ✓ the surgical procedures,  ✓ surgical anatomy,  ✓ anaesthetic techniques, and  ✓ post operative managements are not stated well  References are good</p>	<p>Ok, Thank You</p> <p>Ok, Thank You</p> <p>Ok, Thank You</p> <p>Ok, Thank You</p> <p>Ok, Thank You  Corrected as per your suggestions</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	<p>Language is good but Some spelling errors to be corrected</p>	<p>Ok, Thank You, spelling errors improved</p>
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>If the comments addressed and incorporated the manuscript will be smart more</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	