

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_115798
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF PROPHYLACTIC ANTIBIOTIC USE IN SECTIO CAESAREA PATIENTS AT MINTOHARDJO NAVAL HOSPITAL
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>Based on my assessment, I believe that the manuscript is indeed important for the scientific community. because appropriate prophylactic antibiotics administration before surgery can reduce the incidence of Surgical site infection.</p> <p>Based on my assessment, I believe that it is suitable</p> <p>Based on my assessment , I believe that it is comprehensive.</p> <p>Based on my assessment, I believe that the subsections and structure of the manuscript are indeed appropriate. Based on my assessment , I think that manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>Based on my assessment, I believe that the references in the manuscript are sufficient and recent.</p>	Thanks Thanks Thanks Thanks Thanks Thanks
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Based on my evaluation, I believe that the language/English quality of the article is indeed suitable for scholarly communication.	Thanks
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	