

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_115488
Title of the Manuscript:	A bibliometric study of English textbook analysis (1979–2022)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<p>Yes. This will improve the construction of textbooks.</p> <p>The word analysis in the title may be changed to analyses.</p> <p>The abstract meets all the necessary elements</p> <p>Review of Literature may be provided.</p> <p>Needs improvement</p> <p>References are ok.</p> <p>The author mentioned that the study is different from the usual investigation of textbook which mostly delved into linguistic and cultural aspects, I suggest that the author clearly mentions what makes this study different.</p> <p>The author may also state in the introduction the significance of conducting this study.</p> <p>“there is no research that uses data from the Scopus database” the author should avoid very conclusive statement like this as there is a vast of research available the he was not able to access. Better to revise this in a safer statement.</p> <p>Literature review may be provided to understand more the context and the need of this research. This will also pave way to a clear discussion of results.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<p>I suggest that contractions be avoided by the author.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Joemar T. Miñoza
Department, University & Country	Cebu Technological University, Philippines