

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_115488
Title of the Manuscript:	A bibliometric study of English textbook analysis (1979–2022)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>Yes, it is. The manuscript brings to the fore important, ground-breaking ideas that are of utmost value for the scientific community</p> <p>Yes, it is.</p> <p>In general, yes: however, it could be briefly expanded.</p> <p>Yes, it is.</p> <p>In general, yes. The premise should be stated in further detail.</p> <p>Yes, it is.</p> <p>Yes, they are. The bibliography is vast and up-to-date.</p>	<p>Thank you for your positive feedback.</p> <p>Thank you for your valuable comment.</p> <p>I think this is an excellent suggestion. I have already expanded the abstract section, focusing on the role of the field of English textbook analysis in the development of language education.</p> <p>Thank you for your positive feedback.</p> <p>I have added the suggested content to the manuscript on introduction section and conclusion section.</p> <p>Thank you for your valuable comment.</p>
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<p>Yes, it is.</p>	<p>Thank you for your appreciation of my work.</p>
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	