

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_115488
Title of the Manuscript:	A bibliometric study of English textbook analysis (1979–2022)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes. This will improve the construction of textbooks.</p> <p>The word analysis in the title may be changed to analyses.</p> <p>The abstract meets all the necessary elements</p> <p>Review of Literature may be provided.</p> <p>Needs improvement</p> <p>References are ok.</p> <p>The author mentioned that the study is different from the usual investigation of textbook which mostly delved into linguistic and cultural aspects, I suggest that the author clearly mentions what makes this study different.</p> <p>The author may also state in the introduction the significance of conducting this study.</p> <p>“there is no research that uses data from the Scopus database” the author should avoid very conclusive statement like this as there is a vast of research available the he was not able to access. Better to revise this in a safer statement.</p> <p>Literature review may be provided to understand more the context and the need of this research. This will also pave way to a clear discussion of results.</p>	<p>Thank you for your positive feedback.</p> <p>As suggested by the reviewer, I have changed “analysis” into “analyses”.</p> <p>Thank you for your valuable comment.</p> <p>Literature review has been updated.</p> <p>As suggested by the reviewer, I have included an exposition of the significance of the analysis of English textbooks in the abstract section and some discussion in the final conclusion section.</p> <p>Thank you for this valuable comment.</p> <p>I agree that this is a potential limitation of the study. In the final paragraph of the introduction, I have highlighted the inadequacies of current research focused solely on textbooks in understanding the entire field. Thus, this study addresses this gap by employing bibliometric analysis. Also, [In this regard, this study propels the progression of language education and furnishes invaluable perspectives and assistance for global-scale educational reforms and societal advancements] elevates the significance of this research to a higher level.</p> <p>As suggested by the reviewer, I have changed this sentence into [However, there is currently limited research utilizing data from the Scopus database as the object of study to investigate the development of English textbook analysis.]</p> <p>Thank you for your valuable suggestion. Literature review has been updated.</p>
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>I suggest that contractions be avoided by the author.</p>	<p>Thank you for pointing this out. I have changed some contractions such “WOS” into “Web of Science”.</p>
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	