Review Form 1.7

| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Ophthalmology |
|--------------------------|---|
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJRROP_115266 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Clinical comparison of bupivacaine and lidocaine for local anesthesia in glaucoma surgery |
| Type of the Article | |

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | | , |
| Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) | Tittle: More appropriate: Clinical comparison of bupivacaine and lidocaine for local anesthesia in glaucoma surgery Include information about Ophthalmoplegia in the AbstractResults | |
| 2. Is the title of the article suitable? | 2. Include information about Ophthalmoplegia in the Abstractivesuits | |
| | | |
| (If not please suggest an alternative title) | 3. Include information about bupivacaine/lidocaine in Introduction/Discussion section. | |
| 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? | You may use: Balakrishnan K, Ebenezer V, Dakir A, Kumar S, Prakash D. Bupivacaine versus lignocaine as the choice of locall anesthetic agent for impacted third molar surgery a review. Journal of Pharmacy | |
| 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | &Bioallied Sciences. 2015 Apr 1;7(Suppl 1):S230–3. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4439680/ | |
| 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? | Oji E, Oji A. Bupivacaine and lignocaine for ophthalmic surgery. British Journal of Ophthalmology. 1987 Jan 1;71(1):66–8. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1041086/ | |
| 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. | | |
| | 4. Clarify the cardiotoxicity of bupivacaine | |
| (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) | You may use: Zapata-Sudo G, Trachez MM, Sudo RT, Nelson TE. Is Comparative Cardiotoxicity of S(¬) and R(+) Bupivacaine Related to Enantiomer-Selective Inhibition of L-Type Ca2+ Channels? Anesthesia & Analgesia. 2001 Feb 1;92(2):496–501. Available from: https://journals.lww.com/anesthesia-analgesia/fulltext/2001/02000/is_comparative_cardiotoxicity_of_s_and_r40.aspx | |
| | 5. The Discussion is practically missing. Organize a separate Discussion section distinct from the Results section, and compare with the results of other relevant studies. | |
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Minor corrections on the text | |
| Optional/General comments | | |
| | | |
| | - | |
| | | |
| | | |

Created by: DR Checked by: PMApproved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

| | | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |

Reviewer Details:

| Name: | Nikol Panou |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Department, University & Country | General Oncologic Hospital of Kifisia "Oi Agioi Anargyroi", Greece |

Created by: DR Checked by: PMApproved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)