

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Ophthalmology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRROP_115266
Title of the Manuscript:	Clinical comparison of bupivacaine and lidocaine for local anesthesia in glaucoma surgery
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<p>1. Title: More appropriate: <del>Clinical</del> comparison of bupivacaine and lidocaine for local anesthesia in glaucoma surgery</p> <p>2. Include information about Ophthalmoplegia in the Abstract/Results</p> <p>3. Include information about bupivacaine/lidocaine in Introduction/Discussion section. You may use:  Balakrishnan K, Ebenezer V, Dakir A, Kumar S, Prakash D. Bupivacaine versus lignocaine as the choice of local anesthetic agent for impacted third molar surgery: a review. Journal of Pharmacy &amp; Bioallied Sciences. 2015 Apr 1;7(Suppl 1):S230–3. Available from: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4439680/">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4439680/</a>  Oji E, Oji A. Bupivacaine and lignocaine for ophthalmic surgery. British Journal of Ophthalmology. 1987 Jan 1;71(1):66–8. Available from: <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1041086/">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1041086/</a></p> <p>4. Clarify the cardiotoxicity of bupivacaine  You may use:  Zapata-Sudo G, Trachez MM, Sudo RT, Nelson TE. Is Comparative Cardiotoxicity of S(–) and R(+) Bupivacaine Related to Enantiomer-Selective Inhibition of L-Type Ca<sup>2+</sup> Channels? Anesthesia &amp; Analgesia. 2001 Feb 1;92(2):496–501. Available from: <a href="https://journals.lww.com/anesthesia-analgesia/fulltext/2001/02000/is_comparative_cardiotoxicity_of_s_and_r.40.aspx">https://journals.lww.com/anesthesia-analgesia/fulltext/2001/02000/is_comparative_cardiotoxicity_of_s_and_r.40.aspx</a></p> <p>5. The Discussion is practically missing. Organize a separate Discussion section distinct from the Results section, and compare with the results of other relevant studies.</p>	<p>Revised</p> <p>Okay</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	<p>Minor corrections on the text</p>	<p>Okay</p>
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>-</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	