

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_115934
Title of the Manuscript:	The Empowerment of Entrepreneurship to Rural Revitalization for College Students Returning Hometown
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, it is worth focusing on the Empowerment of Entrepreneurship in Rural areas.</p> <p>2. The Role of Entrepreneurship in Rural Revitalization: An investigation of the College Students Returning to Their Hometowns"</p> <p>3. No. there is a need to include more information such as context, theoretical underpinning, gaps, the aim of the study, and research methodology.</p> <p>4. The literature review section is missing after the introduction. The remainder of the manuscript is well structured.</p> <p>5. The main issue of the manuscript is academic language. It lacks proper statements and requirements for writing an academic paper.</p> <p>6. A very limited number of references are used in this manuscript. I suggest adding the most recent published articles in indexed journals (from 2020-2023).</p> <p>7. The research methodology section lacks proper and detailed information regarding the sampling strategy, sample size, and data analysis method. More references are needed to be added in this section.</p> <p>8. Limitations and suggestions for future studies must be included either in the conclusion or as a unique section.</p> <p>9. There should be discussion of the theoretical underpinning and the context that this study is conducted.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The manuscript needs to be sent for editing and proofreading. Using statements such as killing two birds with one stone does not seem suitable for scholarly efforts.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Parisa Mohammadi
Department, University & Country	University Technology Malaysia, Malaysia