

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_115934
Title of the Manuscript:	The Empowerment of Entrepreneurship to Rural Revitalization for College Students Returning Hometown
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	 1. Yes, it is worth focusing on the Empowerment of Entrepreneurship in Rural areas. 2. The Role of Entrepreneurship in Rural Revitalization: An investigation of the College Students Returning to Their Hometowns" 3. No. there is a need to include more information such as context, theoretical underpinning, gaps, the aim of the study, and research methodology. 4. The literature review section is missing after the introduction. The remainder of the manuscript is well structured. 5. The main issue of the manuscript is academic language. It lacks proper statements and requirements for writing an academic paper. 6. A very limited number of references are used in this manuscript. I suggest adding the most recent published articles in indexed journals (from 2020-2023). 7. The research methodology section lacks proper and detailed information regarding the sampling strategy, sample size, and data analysis method. More references are needed to be added in this section. 8. Limitations and suggestions for future studies must be included either in the conclusion or as a unique section. 9. There should be discussion of the theoretical underpinning and the context that this study is conducted.	Okay
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The manuscript needs to be sent for editing and proofreading. Using statements such as killing two birds with one stone does not seem suitable for scholarly efforts.	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	