Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJAEES_114193**
Title of the Manuscript:	**STUDY THE INPUT USE PATTERN AND COST AND RETURNS OF HYBRID COTTON SEED PRODUCTION IN KARNATAKA, INDIA.**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. **Is the manuscript important for the scientific community?** (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. **Is the title of the article suitable?** (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. **Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?** 4. **Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?** 5. **Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?** 6. **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.** **(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)**	**The manuscript may have local or regional significance.** **The title is ok.** **Yes** **The problem is not well articulated, with a lot of redundancies. Better to condense, and avoid reputation. Correct simple grammatical errors. Signify the importance and relevance cost of a hybrid seed manual pollination, explore the potential of transgenic Bt cotton hybrids, and patent right breeding techniques advancement. Abbreviations are not specified** **Regarding the reference, I disagree with how it was put in here, A lot of ANON's. which is unacceptable. For reasons we don't know the author tries to hide, while the study is aimed at supporting farmers, and again address is given in the reference part. If they are unpublished, better to mention them.** **Continues table results would have been better after discussing each table one after the other**	
Minor REVISION comments 1. **Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	Needs Improvement, a lot of writing mistakes please correct	
Optional/General comments	Better to make the problem a global concern even though locally conducted, and may be extrapolated. Put clear and concise methodology. The manuscript is prepared for those who know Indian agriculture. Why the author doesn't make it general? For example, what sign represents is not known. Avoid local descriptions and more or less bring it to the wider community.	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Hailu Regassa**
Department, University & Country	**Addis Ababa University, Ethiopia**