

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name: | Archives of Current Research International |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_ACRI_116010 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Energy analysis of the Mechanized System used for Khoa Production |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <p>YES, PURELY TECHNICAL</p> <p>YES</p> <p>NEEDS RECASTING</p> <p>YES</p> <p>YES</p> <p>OUTDSTED REFS</p> <p>NEEDS FURTHER SIMPLIFICATION FOR BETTER UNDERSTANDING</p> | <p>3. Abstract was re-casted and submitted back.</p> <p>6. Recent references were added</p> <p>All necessary corrections were incorporated as suggested by the reviewer.</p> |
| <p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>YES</p> | |
| <p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p> | | <p>If any other alterations required, kindly communicate me as soon as possible.</p> |

PART 2:

| | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | Not Any |