

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_115350
Title of the Manuscript:	exophthalmos revealing malignant lymphoma
Type of the Article	A Case Report

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<p>1-This manuscript on "Exophthalmos Revealing Malignant Lymphoma" is important for the scientific community as it reveals the relation between exophthalmos and malignant lymphoma. The conclusions and findings introduced in this study can contribute to our understanding of the diagnosis and management of exophthalmos in the context of malignant lymphoma, benefiting ophthalmologists, oncologists, and researchers in the associated field.</p> <p>2- The provided title, "Exophthalmos Revealing Malignant Lymphoma," appears to be suitable. Therefore, no alternative title is necessary in this case.</p> <p>3- the abstract of the article is comprehensive</p> <p>4- the structure and subsections of the manuscript appear appropriate</p> <p>5- I think the manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>6- the references are sufficient but not recent</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ The term "intraconical tissue" used in the manuscript might be an uncommon term. It is more likely that the intended term was "intraconal tissue."▪ Figures had no references	Noted
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	1. language/English quality of the article Is suitable for scholarly communications	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	