Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	**Journal of Engineering Research and Reports**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JERR_115435**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Early Detection: Machine Learning Techniques in Pancreatic Cancer Diagnosis**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments **1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?** (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) **2. Is the title of the article suitable?** (If not please suggest an alternative title) **3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?** **4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?** **5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?** **6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.** **(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)**	**Yes** **Yes** **Yes** **Yes** **Yes** **Yes, but add some very recent years**	
Minor REVISION comments **1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	Yes	
Optional/General comments	1) None of your figures were mentions in the body of the text. 2) Machine Learning is a general term. Be more specific on the type of machine learning deployed. The document is replete with use of machine learning with less reference to Random Forest Classifier. 3) Methodology description is not specific. You are using general terms/statements instead of specific parameters used in the work. 4) Section 6.0 Prerequisites is not relevant or not specific to the work in particular. Remove or rewrite the section to reflect how these subsections relate to your work. 5) One very common thing in the work that runs throughout is the use of general statements. This paper is not a review paper. 6) Abstract did not reflect results obtains and conclusion/recommendation	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Ikharo Abdullahi Braimoh**
Department, University & Country	**Edo State University, Nigeria**