Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_115435
Title of the Manuscript:	Early Detection: Machine Learning Techniques in Pancreatic Cancer Diagnosis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		,
Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	It communicates the main focus of the research, which is the application of machine learning techniques in the early detection of pancreatic cancer. Additionally, it emphasizes the importance of early detection, which is a critical aspect of improving patient outcomes for pancreatic cancer, making it likely to capture the interest of readers in the medical and scientific community. Overall, it's a suitable and effective title for the intended audience.	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is both descriptive and intriguing for an academic article.	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	The abstract provides a succinct overview of the significance of early detection in pancreatic cancer, highlighting the poor prognosis associated with the disease and the importance of improving survival rates through timely diagnosis. It effectively communicates the necessity of leveraging advanced computer systems and machine learning techniques to address the challenges in pancreatic cancer diagnosis. Overall, while the abstract effectively highlights the	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	importance of early detection in pancreatic cancer and the application of machine learning techniques, refining the structure, providing more detail on the methodology, and clarifying language would strengthen its impact and appeal to readers.	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	Yes	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Yes	
(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Some grammar and spelling errors need to be fixed	
Optional/General comments		

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Farhang Salehi
Department, University & Country	Iran

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)