

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_115820
Title of the Manuscript:	Global Commodity Price Shocks, Exchange Rate and Inflation Dynamics in an Emerging Economy: Evidence from ARDL Modelling Approach
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	 1. This manuscript investigates how global commodity price shocks affect exchange rates and inflation in emerging economies. It's crucial for policymakers and economists due to its insights into macroeconomic dynamics. 2. The title effectively captures the focus, but could be clarified as: "Understanding Global Commodity Price Shocks on Exchange Rates and Inflation in Emerging Economies: ARDL Perspective." 3. The abstract succinctly summarizes the research aims, methods, findings, and implications, though minor revisions for clarity may enhance its effectiveness. 4. The manuscript is well-organized with clear subsections, aiding readability. Some minor adjustments for coherence could improve overall structure. 5. The study appears scientifically sound, employing a robust ARDL modeling approach. However, clearer explanations of technical aspects could enhance accessibility. 6. References are relevant, but additional recent studies could enrich the literature review.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	 Yes, with minor revisions, the language and English quality of the article can be further enhanced for scholarly communications. The manuscript demonstrates a high standard of written expression but may benefit from some minor adjustments to meet the conventions expected in academic writing.	
Optional/General comments	 Refine the conclusion for succinctness and clarity, ensuring it effectively summarizes the key findings without unnecessary elaboration. Ensure consistent formatting of table headings, using bold font for clarity and uniformity across all tables. Additionally, the abstract requires precise and concise writing for clarity and add more recent research papers and arrange them in chronological order by year.	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aditi M Joshi
Department, University & Country	GLS University, India