Global Commodity Price Shocks, Exchange Rate and Inflation Dynamics in an Emerging

Economy: Evidence from ARDL Modelling Approach

**Abstract** 

Aims: To analyze the changing relationship between commodity prices (cocoa, diesel, gold, oil,

petrol) and macroeconomic factors (exchange rate and inflation) in Ghanaand differentiate

between long-term and short-term effects.

Study Design: Quantitative Design with Time series analysis using the Autoregressive

Distributed Lag (ARDL) technique.

Place and Duration of the study: School of Economics and School of Business, University of

Cape Coast, Ghana, between January 2024 to April 2024.

Methodology: The study utilized cointegration and Error Correction Model in econometric

estimation. Time series data for commodity prices and macroeconomic factors were collected for

the period 2003M01 to 2023M10. The ARDL technique was applied to differentiate between

long-term and short-term effects of inflation and exchange rates.

**Result:** The short-run and long-run results indicate a positive relationship between commodity

prices and inflation and exchange rates. A 1% increase in diesel prices is associated with a 0.23%

appreciation in Ghana's currency in the short run (p=0.019) and a 1.76% rise in inflation in the

long run (p=0.012). Past inflation has a dominant influence on current inflation, with a 1

percentage point increase in the previous year's inflation leading to a 0.45 percentage point

increase in the current inflation rate.

**Conclusion:** Policymakers should prioritize stabilizing diesel prices, invest in storage facilities,

promote alternative energy sources, strengthen domestic production and distribution of essential

commodities, and adopt targeted monetary and fiscal policies to anchor inflationary expectations

and address inflation inertia in Ghana.

Keywords: Commodity prices, inflation, Exchange rate, Inflation Inertia, Autoregressive

Distributed Lag (ARDL)

**JEL CLASSIFICATION**: E31, F31, O13

### 1.0 Introduction

Recent debates on commodity price movements have focused on their impact on macroeconomic indicators such as inflation and exchange rates. There is a belief that commodity prices tend to rise and fall together, influenced by common macroeconomic factors such as interest rates, industrial production, exchange rates, and inflation (Kozlovtceva et al., 2020; Coletti et al., 2021). Commodity price shocks, particularly in strategic commodities like oil, gold, silver, and copper, can lead to expectations of higher inflation and subsequent monetary policy tightening (Kozlovtceva et al., 2020; Tang, 2014). The choice between targeting the headline consumer price index or a measure of core prices in monetary policy response to commodity price shocks is also a topic in today's world (Hammoudeh et al., 2009; Mensi et al., 2014; Ciner et al., 2010; Moreira, 2014). Likewise, the behaviour of the U.S. dollar relative to major currencies in the presence of precious metals and copper prices, as well as interest rates, is of interest (Noureddine, 2008). Global commodity price shocks have a significant impact on inflation and exchange rate movements in emerging economies.

These shocks can increase inflation rates, as seen in the case of Iran where global commodity prices were found to positively and significantly affect inflation (Shahrazi et al., 2022). The impact of globalization on inflation dynamics is also evident, with increased integration between developed economies and emerging countries leading to a direct impact on domestic inflation in emerging countries (Volodymyr, Kyrylenko & Dmytro Khokhych, 2023). Inflation in these countries can be influenced by disruptions in global trade channels, highlighting the structural nature of global inflation (Mauro, 2022). At the same time, commodity price shocks have been identified as major drivers of business cycles in emerging economies, such as Brazil, Chile, Colombia, and Peru (Abaidoo& Agyapong, 2022). Adopting inflation-targeting regimes in these economies has shown to be effective in coping with commodity price shocks, particularly in managing inflation and output gaps (Hove et al., 2015). Therefore, understanding the relationship between global commodity price shocks, exchange rates, and inflation is crucial for policymakers in emerging economies. Studies have shown that positive oil price shocks lead to devaluations in domestic currencies and tight monetary policies in some economies (Luccas, 2023). On the other hand, shocks in agricultural prices cause appreciations in domestic currencies (Mauro, 2022).

The relationship between commodity prices and exchange rates varies across countries, with evidence suggesting that changes in commodity prices can better predict exchange rates in export-dependent developed countries, while the random walk model performs better in export-dependent emerging and import-dependent countries (Hevia & Juan, 2022). The research by Souza et al. (2020) shows that the link between commodity prices and real exchange rates is not consistently positive, but rather depends on the specific country being analyzed. The effects of commodity price shocks on the economy also depend on factors such as global financial variables, global demand shocks, and global economic uncertainty shocks (Alain et al., 2022). The impact of copper price shocks on the economy of Chile varies depending on the source of the shock, with demand shocks positively affecting GDP and supply-side events or specific copper demand having different effects (Haider et al., 2021).

### 1.1 Research gaps, motivation, and contribution of the research

Previous studies about global commodity price shocks and exchange rates in emerging economies include the need for further analysis of the specific impacts of different types of commodity price shocks on domestic financial markets (Luccas, 2023). Mauro (2022) identified insufficient studies in understanding the role of global financial variables in influencing commodity prices and their subsequent effects on emerging economies' business cycles. This current study builds on the same notion in assessing the impact of global commodity prices on the Ghanaian economy, within the lens of its inflator factor and exchange rates. According to the research by Shahrazi et al., (2022), there is mixed evidence regarding the relationship between global commodity prices and inflation. Some studies have found a positive and significant impact, while others have found no significant effect (Mauro, 2022). In addition, the role of macro-finance linkages and global financial variables in influencing commodity prices and their impact on inflation has not been fully explored (Pedersen, 2018).

Furthermore, the specific channels through which commodity price shocks affect inflation in emerging economies are not well understood (Abaidoo& Agyapong, 2022). There is a need for more studies that examine the impact of commodity price fluctuations on a holistic measure of development, such as inflation, exchange rates, and general human development Index, in emerging economies. The motivation for studying the influence of global commodity prices on inflation in a developing country like Ghana stems from the need to understand the factors driving inflation and exchange rates in the country. Although several studies have shown that

commodity, prices, such as oil, cocoa, and petroleum products, can have a significant impact on inflation (Archer et al., 2022; Ayisi, 2020; Albert 2016), this present study seeks to study both the influence on inflation and exchange rates interactions. In Ghana, fluctuations in these commodity prices can lead to changes in the overall price level, affecting the cost of living for the population.

Understanding the relationship between global commodity prices to exchange rates and inflation can help policymakers develop effective strategies to manage inflation and mitigate its negative effects on the economy. This study aims to provide additional and new insights that cover broader and current ways to address and control inflation and exchange rate impacts and increases. For example, an upsurge in the price of crude oil corresponds to an appreciation of the Ghana Cedi during turbulent conditions, while cocoa price tends to appreciate the Ghana Cedi for both normal and extreme market conditions. The current study highlights the impacts of both inflation and exchange rate in the dynamic co-movement of commodity prices, indicating that exchange rate and inflation drive commodity interdependence. Studying the extent of the pass-through of international commodity price changes to the domestic market can provide insights into the transmission mechanism of global price shocks to the local economy, investigating the influence of global commodity prices on inflation and exchange rates as adopted in this research, in Ghana is crucial for informing policy decisions and promoting economic stability.

This research also makes several contributions to existing literary works (1) This research looks at the unique interplay between Commodity Price (Oil Price, Cocoa Price, Petrol price, Diesel price), Exchange Rate, and Inflation in the Ghanaian economy. (2) The authors of this study are optimistic that this study is a pioneer in investigating variables Oil Prices, Cocoa Prices, Petrol prices, and Diesel prices in determining both inflation and exchange rates in a single study in emerging economies like Ghana. (3) The Augmented autoregressive distributed lag (ARDL) developed by (Chudik &Pesaran, 2015) to estimate short and long-term estimators was employed in this study. (4) The theoretical contribution of this study is that we advance theCommodity terms of trade theory and the Inflation and exchange rates hypothesis in the context of the developing economy of Ghana. Since Ghana is an emerging country, we analyzed the presence of this hypothesis in this region. An emerging economy starts to expand, and a rise in economic growth and income may improve because of the inflation and exchange rates interplay. Premised on the afore introduction, the rest of the paper is arranged as follows: Part Two covers the

literature review, Part 3 focuses on the methodology, data, and empirical model, part 4 outlines the empirical results and discussion, and lastly the last part 5 illustrates the conclusion and policy implications.

# 2.0 Literature Review

This part of the study delves into the details of prior literary works on the association between commodity prices (Cocoa, Oil, Gold, Petrol, and Diesel), inflation rate and exchange rate.

#### 2.1 Theoretical literature

The Commodity Terms of Trade Theory serves as the theoretical basis of the current study as proposed by Berka and Crucini (2009) in their model that emphasizes the role of productivity movements in understanding business cycle fluctuations, trade balance dynamics, and economic welfare. The Commodity Terms of Trade Theory explains the relationship between the volatility of commodity prices and various economic factors. It suggests that when commodity prices are volatile, it leads to higher sovereign credit spreads and a higher cost of capital for producers in commodity-dependent countries. This, in turn, affects the growth performance of industries in these countries, particularly in manufacturing sectors that are more vulnerable to financial constraints. The adverse effects of commodity price volatility on growth operate through lower total factor productivity and lower physical capital accumulation in industries that rely heavily on external finance.

The theory also suggests that commodity terms of trade can explain the nonstationarity of real exchange rates in certain countries, such as the Mediterranean or MENA countries. Additionally, relative international commodity prices can have effects on political transitions, with decreases in growth rates increasing the probability of democratic transitions (Lee, 2022; Gruss & Suhaib Kebhaj, 2019; Tröster&Küblböck, 2020; Camarero et al., 2008). Thus, this research provides empirical evidence on the role of commodity prices in shaping inflation and exchange rate dynamics. Financial I economists and scientists have identified important advancements such as ICT infrastructure development, and technological advances in the use and processing of commodities indicating the efficiency and stability of macroeconomic indicators. Therefore, in

this research, we expect a positive association between Commodity Prices (Cocoa, Oil, Gold, Petrol, and Diesel) on Inflation Rate and Exchange rates in Ghana.

# 2.2 Empirical Literature

# 2.2.1 Commodity Prices (Cocoa, Oil, Gold, Petrol, Diesel) and Inflation Rate Nexus

Commodity prices, such as cocoa, oil, gold, petrol, and diesel, have a significant impact on inflation. Previous studies by(Abaidoo& Agyapong, 2022; Davidson et al., 2016; Shahrazi et al., 2022) showed that the fluctuations in the prices of these commodities can lead to an increase or decrease in consumer price inflation. The relationship between commodity prices and inflation is complex and varies across different countries and periods. In Nigeria, fluctuations in oil prices asymmetrically influence domestic inflation, with both increases and decreases in oil prices leading to a decline in inflation (S. Alimia et al., 2020). In Saudi Arabia, there is a dynamic nexus between oil prices, the Saudi/US dollar exchange rate, inflation, and output growth rate (Aloui et al., 2018). In Brazil, Russia, India, Indonesia, China, and South Africa, global commodity prices, particularly oil and energy prices, cause inflationary pressures, conversely in Russia where they cause deflationary pressures (Rizvi &Sahminan, 2021). Comparatively, in the Organization of Oil Exporting Countries (OPEC), the oil price-inflation nexus is stronger compared to oil-importing countries, and the relationship tends to change over short periods (Salisu & Isah, 2017; Rizvi &Sahminan, 2021).

In the UK, world food commodity prices, exchange rates, and oil prices have substantial and significant long-term effects on domestic food price inflation (Davidson et al., 2016). For example, fluctuations in the forex-adjusted price of crude oil, gold, and cocoa significantly influence inflation(Abaidoo& Agyapong, 2022). Similarly, a study byShahrazi et al., (2022) assessed global commodity prices, including oil, have a positive and statistically significant impact on inflation in Iran. Commodity price information, including convenience yields, enhances the ability to predict and assess inflation risk (Garratt & Petrella, 2021).

Commodity prices, such as cocoa, oil, gold, petrol, and diesel, have a significant impact on various aspects of the economy and development. Research in this field has focused on factors influencing commodity prices, the impact of price fluctuations on the macroeconomy, commodity price forecasting, and the financialization of commodities(Zhang et al., 2022). In Sub-Saharan Africa, commodity price changes, including crude oil, cocoa, and gold, have been found to have a positive influence on development, while cotton and coffee price changes have a negative effect(Abaidoo& Agyapong, 2021). Additionally, oil shocks and inflation have differential impacts on various commodity prices, both in the short and long run (Kliesen, 2021). Therefore, changes in commodity prices, particularly those of cocoa, oil, gold, petrol, and diesel, play a crucial role in shaping inflation dynamics.

### 2.2.2 Commodity Prices (Cocoa, Oil, Gold, Petrol, Diesel) and Exchange Rate Nexus

Commodity prices, including cocoa, oil, gold, petrol, and diesel, have a significant impact on exchange rates in various developing countries. The relationship between commodity prices and exchange rates is examined in several studies. The findings suggest that changes in oil prices can lead to fluctuations in exchange rates, both in oil-producing and exporting countries (Umoru et al., 2023). In Malaysia, there is evidence of a unidirectional causal relationship running from the nominal exchange rate to oil prices in both the long and short run (Butt et al., 2020). Similarly, in Ghana, variations in commodity prices, such as cocoa and crude oil, have a significant influence on the nominal exchange rate (Salisu & Sikiru, 2021). The synchronization between international commodity prices and capital inflows to developing countries has also been observed, leading to double boom-bust cycles and increased vulnerability for commodity-dependent economies (Archer et al., 2022).

Zou et al. (2017) find a strong and robust relationship between commodity prices and exchange rates in Australia and New Zealand, with the commodity price improving the forecast ability of the exchange rate. Cui (2023) shows that gold and crude oil are positively correlated with Chinese stock market indices, while the USD CNY exchange rate is negatively correlated. Devereux and Smith (2021) propose a monetary model that explains the correlation between commodity prices and currency values in commodity-exporting countries. Jiménez-Rodríguez and Morales-Zumaquero's (2020) study suggests that the effect of commodity prices on real exchange rates varies across countries and is not consistently positive. Overall, these studies

highlight the interplay between commodity prices and exchange rates, emphasizing the importance of understanding these dynamics for economic stability and policymaking.

### 3. Methodology

This chapter captures relevant data collection methods and data analysis technique

#### 3.1 Data Collection Method

The study employed a quantitative research design to investigate the relationship between Commodity Prices (Cocoa, Oil, Gold, Petrol, and Diesel) on Inflation Rate and Exchange Rate in Ghana. Time series secondary data was obtained from the Ghana Statistical Service data bank, covering the period from 2005 to 2023. The dataset consists of monthly observations spanning from January 2005 to October 2023, resulting in a total of 244 observations. The data includes monthly price information for various commodities such as cocoa, diesel, gold, oil, and petrol, as well as macroeconomic indicators like the exchange rate (EXC\_RATE) and the inflation rate (INF\_OCPI). This comprehensive dataset from an official government source provides a reliable foundation for conducting economic research and trend analysis. Table 1 provides a detailed description of the study variables.

**Table 1: Measurements and Variable Definitions** 

Variables	Unit of	Definition	Data	Expected sign	
	Measurement		source		
Dependent varie	able				
Inflation rate	CPI	Measures changes in the	Ghana	No prediction	
		price of a fixed basket of	Statistical	S. Alimia et al.,	
		goods and services	Service	(2020)	
		purchased by households			

		across the country.		
Exchange rate	US Dollar and	Measures the average		No prediction
	Ghana Cedi	monthly interbank		Umoru et al., 2023
		exchange rate between the		
		US Dollar and Ghana Cedi		
Independent var				
Commodity	US Dollar	OIL_PRICE: Average	Ghana	Positive prediction
Prices		International Brent crude	Statistical	Abaidoo&Agyapong,
Cocoa, Oil,		oil price per barrel.	Service	(2022),Butt et al.,
Gold, Petrol,		COCOA_PRICE:		(2020),Zou et al.
and Diesel		Average world market		(2017)
		price of cocoa per ton		
		GOLD_PRICE: Average		
		world market price of Gold		
		per ounce		
		PETROL_PRICE:		
		Average indicative price of		
		petrol as set by NPA		
		DIESEL_PRICE:		
		Average indicative price of		
		diesel as set by NPA		

# 3.2 Estimation Approach

The Augmented Dicky Fuller unit root test was employed to check stationarity in the series. The f-bounds co-integration test was applied to confirm the presence of a long-run relationship between study variables. Before the estimation lag, the length best model estimator was identified. ARDL estimation method was used to analyze the relationship among the variables. ARDL is highly robust regardless of stationarity and endogeneity of study variables (Pesaran et al., 2001). The ARDL estimation method was used to estimate the relationship between Commodity prices and inflation and exchange rate. Robust methods such as diagnostic tests like

autocorrelation (Breusch-Godfrey test) and heteroscedasticity (Breusch-Pagan test) were applied and corrected accordingly.

### 3.3 Model Specification

The research employed the following model to investigate the role of commodity prices on inflation and exchange rates.

$$\mathbf{EXER}_{t} = f(OIL_{t}, COCOA_{t}, GOLD_{t}, PETROL_{t}, DIESEL_{t},)$$
(1)

$$INFL_t = f(OIL_t, COCOA_t, GOLD_t, PETROL_t, DIESEL_t,)$$
(2)

To eliminate skewness problems the data variables were transformed into natural logs.

Hence, we can re-write the above equation as follows:

$$ln\textbf{EXER}_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 lnOIL_t + \beta_2 lnCOCOA_t + \beta_3 lnGOLD_t + \beta_4 lnPETROL_t + \beta_5 lnDIESEL_t + \varepsilon_t$$
(3)

$$ln \textbf{INFL}_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 ln O I L_t + \beta_2 ln COCOA_t + \beta_3 ln GO L D_t + \beta_4 ln PETROL_t + \beta_5 ln DIESEL_t + \varepsilon_t$$
(4)

Where  $\varepsilon_t$ - represents the error term, t represents time dimensions, *shows* the constant term, and  $\beta_1$  to  $\beta_5$ - are coefficients variables.

ARDL estimation model was applied as shown in Equations 5 and 6

$$\begin{split} \Delta lnEXER_t &= \alpha_{01} + \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_{1i} \Delta lnEXER_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^w \alpha_{2i} \Delta lnCOAP_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^w \alpha_{3i} \Delta lnDIEP_{t-i} + \\ \sum_{i=0}^w \alpha_{4i} \Delta lnGOLP_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^w \alpha_{5i} \Delta lnOILP_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^w \alpha_{6i} \Delta lnPETP_{t-i} + \varepsilon_{1t}(5) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \Delta lnINFL_t &= \alpha_{01} + \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_{1i} \Delta lnINFL_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^w \alpha_{2i} \Delta lnCOAP_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^w \alpha_{3i} \Delta lnDIEP_{t-i} \\ &+ \sum_{i=0}^w \alpha_{4i} \Delta lnGOLP_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^w \alpha_{5i} \Delta lnOILP_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^w \alpha_{6i} \Delta lnPETP_{t-i} + \epsilon_{1t} \end{split}$$

To check for causality association error correction term equations 7 and 8were used.

$$\Delta lnEXER_{t} = \alpha_{0} + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \alpha_{1i} \Delta lnEXER_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{w} \alpha_{2i} \Delta lnCOAP_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{w} \alpha_{3i} \Delta lnDIEP_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{w} \alpha_{4i} \Delta lnGOLP_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{w} \alpha_{5i} \Delta lnOILP_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{w} \alpha_{6i} \Delta lnPETP + \emptyset_{1}ECT_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{1t}$$
 (7)
$$\Delta lnINFL_{t} = \alpha_{0} + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \alpha_{1i} \Delta lnEXER_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{w} \alpha_{2i} \Delta lnCOAP_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{w} \alpha_{3i} \Delta lnDIEP_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{w} \alpha_{4i} \Delta lnGOLP_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{w} \alpha_{5i} \Delta lnOILP_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{w} \alpha_{6i} \Delta lnPETP + \emptyset_{1}ECT_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{1t}$$
 (8)

The lagged error correction term  $ECT_{t-1}$ , in equations 7 and 8 measures the speed of adjustment to the long-run equilibrium.  $ECT_{t-1}$ Is the error correction term.

### 3.4. Results and Discussion

This chapter reports the analysis, results, and discussion.

### **Descriptive Statistics**

**Table 2: Descriptive Statistics** 

Obs	Mean	Std. dev.	Min	Max
220	2447.089	498.8751	1367.6	3430.4
220	3.429091	3.412971	0.4	22.6
220	1258.41	410.1943	422.7	2000.7
220	76.09909	24.52343	26.6	134.8
220	3.318182	2.974693	0.4	17.4
220	3.260909	2.370812	0.9	13.1
220	55.97227	35.21621	15.5	170.6
	220 220 220 220 220 220 220	220     2447.089       220     3.429091       220     1258.41       220     76.09909       220     3.318182       220     3.260909	220       2447.089       498.8751         220       3.429091       3.412971         220       1258.41       410.1943         220       76.09909       24.52343         220       3.318182       2.974693         220       3.260909       2.370812	220       2447.089       498.8751       1367.6         220       3.429091       3.412971       0.4         220       1258.41       410.1943       422.7         220       76.09909       24.52343       26.6         220       3.318182       2.974693       0.4         220       3.260909       2.370812       0.9

Table 1 shows the summary statistics for the economic variables studied. The mean price of cocoa for the period under consideration is \$2447 per ton, with a standard variation of around \$500. On the other hand, the mean prices of diesel and petrol in Ghanaian cedis (GHC) are notably lower, around GHC 3.40 each, with comparatively low variability, indicating little price fluctuations. Gold has an average price of \$1258 per ounce, with a standard deviation higher than \$410. This indicates a broader spectrum of gold prices seen in the dataset. The average inflation rate, as measured by the Consumer Price Index (OCPI), is 55.97, with a standard deviation of 35.22, showing notable fluctuation in inflation levels throughout the studied period.

The average currency rate is 3.26 USD per GHC, with a standard deviation of 2.37, indicating variability in the exchange rate. This descriptive study offers an initial insight into the primary patterns and variability of key economic indicators, setting the stage for further in-depth research and analysis.




Fig 1: Trend Analysis of Key Variables

Figure 1 shows the trend analysis of the key variables studied. Cocoa prices exhibited an overall upward trajectory from 2005 until around 2016-2017, after which theygenerally declined with occasional spikes. This trend could be influenced by factors such as global demand, supply disruptions, and weather conditions affecting cocoa production. Crude oil and gold prices display cyclical patterns, with periods of increases and decreases. However, both commodities exhibit an overall upward trend, with more pronounced increases in recent years, particularly from 2019 onwards for gold. Also, prices of petrol and diesel have steadily increased throughout the period, with the rate of increase becoming more pronounced in recent years, especially from around 2020 onwards. The exchange rate exhibits a consistent upward trend, indicating a depreciation of the local currency over time. The inflation rate displays an overall upward trend, with the rate of increase accelerating in recent years, particularly from around 2022 onwards. This trend could be attributed to factors such as changes in commodity prices, exchange rate fluctuations, and domestic economic conditions.

### **Stationary test**

Table 3 presents Augmented Dickey-Fuller unit root test results examining the order of integration for the time series variables. Tests were conducted on the levels and first differences both with and without trend.

**Table 3: Unit Root Test using ARDL** 

IO
I(0)
I(1)
I(0)
I(0)
I(1)
I(1)
I(1)

Statistical significance at 1, 5, and 10% levels of statistical significance, respectively. -2.58, -1.95-1.615

The Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) unit root test was conducted to examine the stationarity of the time series data. The results indicate that the logs of cocoa price (LNCOAP), gold price (LNGOLP), and oil price (LNOILP) are stationary at levels, while the logs of diesel price (LNDIEP), petrol price (LNPETP), exchange rate (LNEXER) and inflation rate (LNINFL) are non-stationary at levels. The ADF test statistics for LCOAP, LGOLP and LOILP are lower than the 1%, 5%, and 10% critical values in absolute terms, indicating rejection of the null hypothesis of a unit root. This means these three variables are integrated of order zero, I(0), and do not require differencing to become stationary. On the other hand, LNDIEP, LNPETP, LNEXER, and LNINFL become stationary only after taking their first differences. Their ADF test statistics

exceed the critical values at levels but are significant after differencing the series once. So these variables are integrated of order one, I(1), meaning they required differencing once to achieve stationarity. The cocoa price, gold price, and oil price logs are I(0) while the diesel price, petrol price, exchange rate and inflation rate logs are I(1).

**Table 4** presents bound test results examining cointegration relationships between the commodity prices and exchange rate in one model, and commodity prices and inflation rate in a second model. The F-statistics from the bound tests are compared to critical values at the 10%, 5%, and 1% significance levels to determine if cointegration exists.

**Table 4: Bound Test Result for Cointegration** 

		Critica	al Values	5					
	F-							р-	
Model	Statistic	10%		5%		1%		value	
		<b>I</b> (0)	<b>I</b> (1)						
Fy(LNEXER,				O					
LNDIEP,									
LNGOLD,									
LNOILP,									
LNPETP)	1.575	2.279	3.401	2.66	3.865	3.484	4.848	0.313	0.723
Fy(LNINFL,									
LNDIEP,									
LNGOLD,									
LNOILP,									
LNPETP)	4.109	2.286	3.4	2.667	3.863	3.493	4.844	0.003	0.034

Do not reject H0 if either F or t are closer to zero than critical values for I (0) variables (if either p-value > desired level for I (0) variables)reject H0 if both F and t are more extreme than critical values for I (1) variable (if both p-values < desired level for I (1) variables)

The bound cointegration test aims to check for a long-run equilibrium relationship among the non-stationary variables. The first model tests if a cointegrating relationship exists between the exchange rate (LNEXER) and the commodity price variables (LNDIEP, LNGOLD, LNOILP,

LNPETP). The F-statistic of 1.575 is lower than even the 5% critical value bounds for both the I(0) and I(1) series. Therefore, the null hypothesis of no cointegration cannot be rejected, implying no stable long-run relationship between these variables. However, the test results for the second model - between inflation (LNINFL) and commodity prices - show that the null hypothesis of no cointegration can be rejected at the 5% level. The F-statistic of 4.109 is higher than the 5% upper bound critical value of 3.863 for the I (1) series. Since the F-statistic exceeds the upper critical value bound, we can conclude there is a cointegration between inflation and commodity prices in Ghana at the 5% significance level. This means there is evidence for a statistically significant long-run equilibrium relationship between these variables.

Further analyses examined the short- and long-term relationships between the variables. For the model with the exchange rate (LNEXER) and commodity prices, which showed no cointegration, only a short-run Auto-Regressive Distributed Lag (ARDL) model was estimated. The optimal ARDL specification was selected using the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC). However, since the bound test indicated cointegration between inflation (LNINFL) and commodity prices, both short and long-run dynamics were analyzed using an error correction model (ECM). The ECM framework accounts for long-run equilibrium as well as short-run adjustment dynamics towards that equilibrium. Table 5 presents short-run coefficient estimates from a model examining the effects of various commodity prices on Ghana's exchange rate.

**Table 5: Estimated Short Run Coefficient** 

Dependent Varia	ble: LEXER					
Regressor	Coefficient	std err.	t	P>t	[95% conf.	interval]
LNCOAP	-0.0423954	0.605607	-0.7	0.485	-0.1619482	0.077158
LNDIEP	0.2297469	0.096933	2.37	0.019	0.0383925	0.421101
LNGOLP	-0.0239801	0.08381	-0.29	0.775	-0.1894302	0.14147
LNOILP	-0.0040378	0.041412	-0.1	0.922	-0.0857898	0.077714
LNPETP	0.1222222	0.118134	1.03	0.302	-0.1109869	0.355431
R-squared			0.9972			
Adj R-squared			0.9969			
Root MSE			0.0408			
F(12, 169)			4927.8			

Prob > F 0.000

The short-run effects of independent variables on the exchange rate are presented in Table 4. The diesel price coefficient stands out as having the most sizable positive relationship. The coefficient of 0.2297469 suggests that if diesel prices rise by 1% in the short term, it corresponds to a 0.23% appreciation in Ghana's currency. This positive relationship between diesel prices and the exchange rate is consistent with findings from previous studies. Butt et al. (2020) documented a unidirectional causal link between oil prices to the nominal exchange rate in Malaysia over the short run. Umoru et al. (2023) also noted that oil price changes could drive exchange rate fluctuations in both oil-exporting and importing countries. This is statistically significant having a p-value of 0.019. The petrol coefficient of 0.1222222 also indicates the exchange rate strengthens by 0.12% for a 1% increase in petrol prices. This is not statistically significant based on the p-value. However, the negative short-run coefficients for cocoa (-0.042), gold (-0.024) and oil prices (-0.004) are also not statistically significant. Zou et al. (2017) documented a robust positive relationship between commodity prices and exchange rates in commodity-exporting countries like Australia and New Zealand. The divergence for cocoa, gold and oil prices warrants further investigation, as the existing empirical evidence generally points to commodity price increases appreciating currencies, especially in commodity-dependent economies. Potential explanations could relate to specific factors in the Ghanaian economy or global market conditions during the study period. So short-term percentage rises in those commodities relate to less than even a 0.05% decrease in the exchange rate. The effects are marginal, but imply those price changes put some downward pressure on the currency over the short horizon. The coefficients show diesel price changes have the most pronounced positive linkage.

Table 5 displays estimated long-run coefficients from an Autoregressive Distributed Lag (ARDL) model assessing the impact of commodity prices on inflation in Ghana. An ARDL specification with lags (2, 1, 1, 1, 2, and 1) is used to evaluate the lagged and long-run associations between inflation and logged commodity prices of cocoa, diesel, gold, oil, and petrol over an extended period.

**Table 6: Estimated Long Run Coefficient** 

Dependent Variable: LINFL							
Regressor	Coefficient	Std. err.	t	P>t	[95% conf	. interval]	
			-				
LNCOAP	-0.0463429	0.1194298	0.39	0.698	-0.28212	0.189434	
LNDIEP	1.758926	0.6946663	2.53	0.012	0.387526	3.130326	
LNGOLP	0.1561513	61513 0.1342864 1.16		0.247	-0.10896	0.421258	
			-				
LNOILP	-0.2884047	0.0766165	3.76	0.000	-4396599	-0.13715	
LNPETP	-1.096077	0.6865039	-1.6	0.112	-2.45136	0.259208	

The coefficient of diesel price is 1.758926 and is statistically significant at the 5% level, with a p-value of 0.012. This implies in the long term, a 1% increase in diesel pricesassociated with a 1.76% rise in Ghana's inflation rate. As diesel costs stay elevated over the years, it puts upward pressure on overall inflation. The coefficient of crude oil is -0.2884047 and is significant, with a p-value of 0.000. This finding of a positive long-run effect of diesel prices on inflation in Ghana aligns with the empirical evidence cited that global commodity prices, particularly oil and energy prices, cause inflationary pressures in many emerging economies like Brazil, Russia, India, Indonesia, China, and South Africa (Rizvi &Sahminan, 2021). The negative coefficient indicates that a 1% permanent oil price increase correlates with a 0.29% decrease in inflation over the long run. Rising world crude oil costs have an enriching impact on domestic inflationary pressures in Ghana in the long term. This contrasts with the cited empirical evidence that higher oil prices tend to increase inflation, except in the case of Russia where they caused deflationary pressures (Rizvi &Sahminan, 2021). The result also shows that a 1% increase in the price of gold will lead to a 0.15% increase in inflation in the long term, although this relationship is not statistically significant. However, the remaining variables were not statistically significant.

Table 7 presents short-run coefficient estimates and error correction parameters from an ARDL model examining the effects of commodity prices on inflation in Ghana. The lagged inflation rate is included alongside logged cocoa, diesel, gold, oil, and petrol prices to analyze their short-term impacts.

Table 7: Estimated ARDL short-run coefficients and the error correction estimate

	Dependent '	Variable: LIN	FL			
Regressor	Coefficient	Std. err.	t	P>t	[95% conf.	interval]
LNINFL	0.4471366	0.0644881	6.93	0.000	0.319825	0.574448
LNCOAP	0.008344	0.0132833	0.63	0.531	-0.01788	0.034568
LNDIEP	0.0430288	0.0228618	1.88	0.062	-0.0021	0.088162
LNGOLP	-0.002167	0.018517	-0.12	0.907	-0.03872	0.034389
LNOILP	0.011069	0.0092663	1.19	0.234	-0.00722	0.029362
LD	-0.0041148	0.009634	-0.43	0.67	-0.0231341	0.0149044
LPETP	0.0032902	0.0242639	0.14	0.892	-0.04461	0.051192
_cons	0.1317569	0.0406913	3.24	0.001	0.051425	0.212089
R-squared =			0.5078			
Adj R-squared =			0.4697			
Root MSE =			0.0089			
Log likelihood =			608.0736			
Prob > F			0.000			

The estimated short-run dynamics point to past inflation having the most dominant association with current inflation in Ghana, as depicted by the largely positive and statistically significant coefficient of 0.4471366. This indicates rising prices exhibit substantial inertia - a 1 percentage point increase in inflation in the previous year links to about a 0.45 percentage point increase in the current inflation rate. The diesel price coefficient is 0.0430288, meaning a 1% increase in diesel prices corresponds to nearly a 0.04 percentage point uptick in the inflation rate within the year. As diesel costs spike over weeks or months, it feeds appreciably into consumer price inflation in the economy. This positive short-run impact of diesel prices on inflation aligns with the empirical evidence from Rizvi &Sahminan (2021) found global diesel and energy prices cause inflationary pressures in many emerging economies. The positive short-run effects are consistent with Abaidoo& Agyapong (2022), who found that crude oil prices and diesel had a

significant positive impact on inflation in Ghana. Changes in gold and petrol prices have negligible associations with current price levels and are also insignificant. The insignificant error correction term indicates there are no rapid self-correcting forces that revert inflation to its long-run rate either.

### **Conclusion**

This study analyzed the changing relationship between commodity prices (Cocoa, diesel, gold, oil, petrol) and macroeconomic factors - exchange rate and inflation - in Ghana from 2000 to 2020. Augmented Dickey-Fuller unit root tests indicated the logs of cocoa, gold and oil prices were stationary at levels I(0), but logs of diesel and petrol prices, exchange rate and inflation rate needed first differencing to reach stationarity I(1). The bound cointegration test indicated no evidence for a long-run equilibrium link between the exchange rate and the commodity prices however, the test demonstrated cointegration between the inflation rate and the commodity prices. This indicates a statistically insignificant long-term relationship between inflation level and commodity price variations. The estimated short-run impact emphasized diesel price fluctuations having the most significant positive link with exchange rate swings. A 1% increase in diesel prices correlated to a 0.23% appreciation of Ghana's currency during the following year.

The long-run research found a 1% permanent increase in diesel prices corresponding with a substantial 1.76% spike in Ghana's inflation over time. This long-term inflationary impact is statistically significant at 5%. A permanent 1% increase in global crude oil prices correlated with a 0.29% eventual drop in domestic inflation. As global oil prices keep growing throughout the years, it has an enriching influence, alleviating inflation pressures in Ghana's net oil-importing economy. The short-run inflation trends reveal strong inertia in Ghana's inflation. A 1 percentage point spike in historical inflation related to a 0.45 percentage point increase in the present inflation rate. Alongside this major inertia impact, short-run surges in diesel prices can considerably lead to heightened consumer price inflation.

Based on the findings, policymakers should implement a multi-pronged approach to mitigate the impact of global commodity price fluctuations on inflation and exchange rates in Ghana. The

researchers suggest that first, measures should be taken to reduce the country's reliance on imported diesel and promote the use of alternative energy sources. Additionally, the government should invest in storage facilities to store diesel and related products for long periods to mitigate sporadic shocks as a result of increases in global prices. This will help mitigate the impact of rising diesel prices. This is because fluctuations in global commodity prices may adversely affect the exchange rate and inflation. Third, it is recommended that policies should be made to strengthen domestic production and distribution channels for essential commodities, such as food and energy. This will help reduce the pass-through effect of global commodity price shocks on domestic inflation. Finally, adopting targeted monetary and fiscal policies can anchor inflationary expectations and address the inertia observed in Ghana's inflation dynamics in response to medium to long term spikes in commodity prices.

#### References

Abaidoo, R., & Agyapong, E. A. (2021). Commodity price fluctuations and development: perspective from emergisporadic.mies. *Journal of Financial Economic Policy*. https://doi.org/10.1108/jfep-02-2021-0039

Abaidoo, R., & Agyapong, E. K. (2022). Commodity prices, inflation and inflation uncertainty among emerging economies. *Journal of Economic and Administrative Sciences*. https://doi.org/10.1108/jeas-10-2021-0203

Alain K. Nagle, P. Franziska O., & Yamazaki, T. (2022). causes and consequences of industrial commodity price shocks. *The World Bank EBooks*, 221–261. https://doi.org/10.1596/978-1-4648-1911-7\_ch4

Albert M. N., (2016). *The Impact of Oil Price on Ghana's Inflation*. *1*(1). https://doi.org/10.32727/24.2018.23

Aloui, C., Hkiri, B., Hammoudeh, S., & Shahbaz, M. (2018). A Multiple and Partial Wavelet Analysis of the Oil Price, Inflation, Exchange Rate, and Economic Growth Nexus in Saudi Arabia. *Emerging Markets Finance and Trade*, *54*(4), 935–956. https://doi.org/10.1080/1540496x.2017.1423469

Archer, C. L., Peterson, O. J., Adam, A. M., Asafo-Adjei, E., & Stephen, Y. B., (2022). Asymmetric Dependence Between Exchange Rate and Commodity Prices In Ghana. *Annals of Financial Economics*, 17(02). https://doi.org/10.1142/s2010495222500129

Ayisi, R. K. (2020). The asymmetry effect of oil price changes on inflation, and the welfare implication for Ghana. *African Journal of Economic and Management Studies*, *12*(1), 55–70. https://doi.org/10.1108/ajems-01-2020-0009

Berka, M., & Crucini, M. J. (2009). The consumption terms of trade and commodity prices. *RePEc: Research Papers in Economics*. https://doi.org/10.3386/w15580

Butt, S., Ramakrishnan, S., Loganathan, N., & Chohan, M. A. (2020). Evaluating the exchange rate and commodity price nexus in Malaysia: evidence from the threshold cointegration approach. *Financial Innovation*, *6*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s40854-020-00181-6

Camarero, M., Cuestas, J. C., & Ordóñez, J. (2008). The Role of Commodity Terms of Trade In The Determination Of The Real Exchange Rates Of The Mediterranean Countries. *The Developing Economies*, 46(2), 188–205. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1746-1049.2008.00062.x

Chudik, A., &Pesaran, M. H. (2015). Common correlated effects estimation of heterogeneous dynamic panel data models with weakly exogenous regressors. *Journal of Econometrics*, *188*(2), 393–420. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeconom.2015.03.007

Ciner, C., Gurdgiev, C., & Lucey, B. M. (2010). Hedges and safe havens – an examination of stocks, bonds, oil, gold and the dollar. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1679243

Coletti, D., Lalonde, R., Masson, P., Muir, D., &Snudden, S. (2021). Commodities and monetary policy: Implications for inflation and price level targeting. *Journal of Policy Modeling*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpolmod.2021.02.013

Cui, J. (2023). The relationship between the gold price, crude oil price, exchange rate and chinese stock market indexes. *highlights in Business, Economics and Management*, *10*, 180–188. https://doi.org/10.54097/hbem.v10i.8037

Davidson, J., Halunga, A., Lloyd, T., McCorriston, S., & Morgan, W. (2016). World commodity prices and domestic retail food price inflation: Some Insights from the UK. *Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 67(3), 566–583. https://doi.org/10.1111/1477-9552.12158

Devereux, M. B., & Smith, G. W. (2021). Testing the present-value model of the exchange rate with commodity currencies. *Journal Of Money, Credit And Banking*, *53*(2-3), 589–596. Https://Doi.Org/10.1111/Jmcb.12774

Dumitrescu, E.-I., & Hurlin, C. (2012). Testing for Granger non-causality in heterogeneous panels. *Economic Modelling*, 29(4), 1450–1460. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econmod.2012.02.014

Garratt, A., & Petrella, I. (2021). Commodity prices and inflation risk. *Journal of Applied Econometrics*. https://doi.org/10.1002/jae.2868

Glebocki K. H., & Saha, S. (2022). Foreign Exchange and Global Monetary Shocks: The Asymmetric Effects of Advanced Economies' Quantitative Easing on Exchange Rate Volatility

in Emerging Markets. *International Economic Journal*, 1–23. https://doi.org/10.1080/10168737.2022.2098353

Gruss, B., & Suhaib K., (2019). Commodity Terms of Trade. *IMF Working Papers*, 2019(21), 1–38. https://doi.org/10.5089/9781484393857.001.a001

Haider, S., Nazir, M. S., Jiménez, A., & Jibran Qamar, M. A. (2021). Commodity prices and exchange rates: evidence from commodity-dependent developed and emerging economies. International Journal of Emerging Markets, ahead-of-print(ahead-of-print). https://doi.org/10.1108/ijoem-08-2020-0954

Hammoudeh, S., Sari, R., & Ewing, B. T. (2009). Relationships among strategic commodities and with financial variables: a new look. *Contemporary Economic Policy*, 27(2), 251–264. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1465-7287.2008.00126.x

Hevia, C., & Juan P. N., (2022). *Research Insights: Do Primary Commodity Prices Account for the Fluctuations of Exchange Rates?* https://doi.org/10.18235/0004605

Hove, S., Touna Mama, A., & Tchana Tchana, F. (2015). Terms of Trade Shocks and Inflation Targeting in Emerging Market Economies. *South African Journal of Economics*, 84(1), 81–108. https://doi.org/10.1111/saje.12086

Jiménez-Rodríguez, R., & Morales-Zumaquero, A. (2020). Impact of Commodity Prices on Exchange Rates in Commodity Exporting Countries. *The World Economy*. https://doi.org/10.1111/twec.12952

Kliesen, K. L. (2021). The link between higher commodity prices and inflation. *Economic Synopses*, 2021(22). https://doi.org/10.20955/es.2021.22

Kozlovtceva, I., Ponomarenko, A., Sinyakov, A., & Tatarintsev, S. (2020). A case for leaning against the wind in a commodity-exporting economy. *International Economics*, *164*, 86–114. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.inteco.2020.08.003

Lee, D. (2022). Commodity terms of trade volatility and industry growth. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4252533

Luccas A. A. (2023). Impact of Oil and Agricultural Shocks on the Financial Markets of Emerging Market Economies. *International Economic Journal*, *37*(2), 270–293. https://doi.org/10.1080/10168737.2023.2207086

Mauro S. F. (2022). Global Shocks and Emerging Economies: Disentangling the Commodity Roller. *Revista Brasileira de Economia*, 76(3). https://doi.org/10.5935/0034-7140.20220014

Mensi, W., Hammoudeh, S., Reboredo, J. C., & Nguyen, D. K. (2014). Do global factors impact BRICS stock markets? A quantile regression approach. *Emerging Markets Review*, *19*, 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ememar.2014.04.002

Moreira, R. R. (2014). Commodities Prices Volatility, Expected Inflation and GDP Levels: An Application for a Net-exporting Economy. *Procedia Economics and Finance*, *14*, 435–444. https://doi.org/10.1016/s2212-5671(14)00732-1

Noureddine Krichene. (2008). *Recent Inflationary Trends in World Commodities Markets*. 2008(130), 1–26. https://doi.org/10.5089/9781451869897.001.a001

Pedersen, M. (2018). The impact of commodity price shocks in a copper-rich economy: the case of Chile. *Empirical Economics*, *57*(4), 1291–1318. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00181-018-1485-9

Pesaran, M. H., Shin, Y., & Smith, R. J. (2001). Bounds testing approaches to the analysis of level relationships. *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, *16*(3), 289–326.

Rizvi, S. A. R., &Sahminan, S. (2021). Commodity Price and Inflation Dynamics: Evidence From BRIICS. *Buletin Ekonomi Moneter Dan Perbankan*, 23(4), 485–500. https://doi.org/10.21098/bemp.v23i4.1418

S. Alimia, A., D. Olaniranb, O., & Ayubac, T. (2020). An asymmetric evaluation of oil price-inflation nexus: Evidence from Nigeria. *Energy Economics Letters*, 7(1), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.18488/journal.82.2020.71.1.11

Salisu, A. A., & Isah, K. O. (2017). Revisiting the oil price and stock market nexus: A nonlinear Panel ARDL approach. *Economic Modelling*, *66*, 258–271. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econmod.2017.07.010

Salisu, A., & Sikiru, A. A. (2021). Palm oil price–exchange rate nexus in indonesia and malaysia. *Buletin Ekonomi Moneter Dan Perbankan*, 24(2), 169–180. https://doi.org/10.21098/bemp.v24i2.1620

Shahrazi, M., Ghaderi, S., &Sanginabadi, B. (2022). Commodity prices and inflation: an application of structural VAR. *Applied Economics*, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1080/00036846.2022.2108753

Souza, R. da S., Mattos, L. B., & Lima, J. E. (2020). Commodity prices and the Brazilian real exchange rate. *International Journal of Finance & Economics*, 26(2), 3152–3172. https://doi.org/10.1002/ijfe.1955

Tang, H. C. (2014). Commodity prices and monetary policy in emerging East Asia during the global financial crisis. *Asian-Pacific Economic Literature*, 28(1), 137–160. https://doi.org/10.1111/apel.12049

Tröster, B., & Küblböck, K. (2020). Unprecedented but not unpredictable: effects of the covid-19 crisis on commodity-dependent countries. *The European Journal of Development Research*. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41287-020-00313-9

Umoru, D., Solomon, E. E., Okpara, E., Robert, I. E., Davidson, I., Cletus, U. N., Muhammed, A. O., Anna, N. T., & Kasimu, E. (2023). Oil-exchange rate volatilities and returns nexus. *Corporate Governance and Organizational Behavior Review*, 7(2, special issue), 325–337.

Volodymyr, K., & Dmytro, K. (2023). *I2023*(1), 85–101. https://doi.org/10.33763/finukr2023.01.085

Zhang, Q., Hu, Y., Jiao, J., & Wang, S. (2022). Exploring the trend of commodity prices: a review and bibliometric analysis. *Sustainability*, *14*(15), 9536.

https://doi.org/10.3390/su14159536

Zou, L., Zheng, B., & Li, X. (2017). The commodity price and exchange rate dynamics. *Theoretical Economics Letters*, 07(06), 1770–1793. https://doi.org/10.4236/tel.2017.76120