

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_115142
Title of the Manuscript:	Genetic variability and character association studies among the yield and yield attributing traits in niger (Guizotia abyssinica (L. f.) Cass.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<p>The manuscript is of great importance in the scientific world. The results obtained will contribute to the setting up of programmes for the improvement and conservation of this plant in this country in particular and in other countries in general.</p> <p>The title of the article is appropriate, but I propose to revise it as follows: Genetic variability and character association among the yield and yield attributing traits in niger (Guizotia abyssinica (L. f.) Cass.</p> <p>Summary of article is not complet. the summary must include: introduction, objective, material and method, results and conclusion (at least one sentence). In this case: no objective, no material and method, no conclusion.</p> <p>The structure of the manuscript is appropriate (the manuscript contains: title, abstract, introduction, material and methods, results and discussion and conclusion). the subsections are not too appropriate. In material and methods, a sub-section presenting the study area should be created, as well as a presentation of the different genotypes the experiment.</p> <p>Yes, it is scientifically acceptable</p> <p>Except in the case of a threshold set by the journal, 30% of the articles used in this manuscript are recent.</p>	<p>Title of the article is slightly modified as per the reviewer suggestions</p> <p>Summary is changed by adding objective, material and method and conclusion</p> <p>Changer are made in material and methods by inclusion of genotypes of the experiment and climatic conditions, soil and experiment details</p> <p>Articles included</p>
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No reviewer comments to correct