

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_115667
Title of the Manuscript:	PATENT FORAMEN OVALE (PFO) IN PATIENTS OF CRYPTOGENIC STROKE AND TRANSIENT ISCHEMIC ATTACK (TIA)- A REAL WORLD EXPERIENCE.
Type of the Article	Original

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	 1. Yes, stroke is an important disease in world wide, this manuscript has an important information. 2. Title is suitable 3. Abstract is well designed 4. Yes 5. I think it is correct 6. Please use these articles in your manuscript: Hosseinzadeh N, Ala A, Rahnemayan S, Sadeghi-Hokmabadi E, Milani AG, Asenjan MR, Vahdati SS. Demographic information and risk factors of stroke patients younger than 65 years old. Frontiers in Emergency Medicine. 2022;6(1):e4-. Vahdati SS, Ala A, Aghdas SA, Adib A, Mirza-Aghazadeh-Attari M, Aliar F. Association between the subtypes of stroke and the various risk factors of cerebrovascular accidents: A cross-sectional study. The Eurasian journal of medicine. 2018 Jun;50(2):86. Ojaghihaghighi S, Vahdati SS, Mikaeilpour A, Ramouz A. Comparison of neurological clinical manifestation in patient with hemorrhagic and ischemic stroke. World J Emerg Med. 2017; 8 (1): 34-8.	 Thank you for your valuable comments, thank you for accepting point number 1-5 and for point number 6, I have considered the reference which you have provided and revised the manuscript accordingly. Thank you
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes it is	Thank You for your comments
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	None