

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_115383
Title of the Manuscript:	VASOSPASTIC ANGINA: What's new and journey to the understanding and management: literature review
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>Certainly yes. It's a important but often under diagnosed condition.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Can be improved</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Just sufficient</p> <p>The article is a nice summary, however not any newer perspective is mentioned in this article (unlike what is suggested in the title). Also I feel if any mention of the approximate incidence in their centre is mentioned. A practical algorithmic approach or flow chart to help clinicians in daily practice (as to how to approach a suspected case of vasospastic angina) is useful and is the usual case with review articles.</p>	Okay
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Grammar Can be improved	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	