Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Plant Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_APRJ_115068
Title of the Manuscript:	Qualitative and Quantitative Phytochemicals Screening of Aqueous, Methanol and Hexane Leaves Extracts of Senna occidentalis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Is the manuscript important for scientific community? yes (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	COMMENTS OF THE MANUSCRIPT TITLED: Qualitative and Quantitative Phytochemicals Screening of Aqueous, Methanol and Hexane Leaves Extracts of Senna occidentalis	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? yes (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The article is poorly written in terms of sentence construction and review of literature	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? yes	2. The plant is very well known and several pharmacognostic and phytochemical studies have already done with this species.	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	There are several spelling mistakes and errors in sentence construction, it seems the authors are not serious to write the article and to communicate in journal	
yes 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	4. The introductory parts should mention the traditional uses of the plants	
yes	The Result section lacks some technical parts mentioned in the text that needs clarifications	
 Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. No 	6. The discussion part should be more constructive. In present condition it seems authors are re-validating the results of the other workers for the same plant.	
(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)		
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? No		
Optional/General comments		

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and
		highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write
		his/her feedback here)
	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Swarnendu Mondal
Department, University & Country	M.U.C. Women's College, The University of Burdwan, India

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 1.7 (15-12-2022)