

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_115881
Title of the Manuscript:	Pulmonary tuberculosis in the elderly: Epidemiological, clinical, and evolutionary aspects
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	 1. The present manuscript is important for science due to the increase of tuberculosis cases together with the ageing of the population, so it is essential to know and prevent the pathologies that worsen tuberculosis disease in the population. 2. The title is appropriate to the article, is in accordance with the text, simple, brief and easy to understand, 3. The summary is very complete, i consider that it should not have so much information on results but more on methodology. 4. The structure is correct and orderly 5. In the section on material and methods, you must specify the permissions granted, including the ethics committee with the application acceptance number, as well as the authorisation of the patients, if necessary. 6. The bibliography is quite up to date, but too extensive for an article, the number of references should be reduced.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The article has correct language	
Optional/General comments	The abbreviations set out in the manuscript must be explained.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Carmen Juan García
Department, University & Country	Hospital Universitario de Leon, Spain