

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_115306
Title of the Manuscript:	Bayesian Probabilistic Projection of Population Census in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. The manuscript studies Bayesian probabilistic projection of population census in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, which is important for scientific community. 2. Yes. 3. Yes. 4. Yes. 5. Yes. 6. Yes. In the last paragraph, you wrote “However, the major limitation is that if datasets were readily up-to 100 (hundred) sample points, the projection would have been more accurate than estimated.” What does this indicate and how will this enlighten the future path of relevant research? Moreover, I do not understand why being “more accurate” could be a limitation.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	1. The English quality fulfils the standard of scholarly communications. Additional comments: Please keep the use of space consistent. They are currently random; for example, “inferences[1]” and “projections [10]”. “exp” in formulas should not be italicized.	
Optional/General comments	I am not sure the style of this journal, but I think phrases such as “[13] investigated” “[8] studied” are weird. You may write “Sibly and Hone [13] investigated” “Obisesan et al. [8] studied” etc., but please confirm the submission guideline by yourself. I feel uncomfortable about the font size in some figures. Suppose you are the reader of this manuscript. Please enlarge the ones that annoy you. I believe most of them will.	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chaoqian Wang
Department, University & Country	George Mason University, USA