

ReviewForm1.7

JournalName:	AsianJournalof AgriculturalExtension,Economics&Sociology
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_AJAEES_114251
TitleoftheManuscript:	CauliflowerFarminginHaryana:TacklingObstaclesforGrowthandProsperity
TypeoftheArticle	ProductionandMarketing

[ReviewForm1.7](#)

PART1:ReviewComments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that an author should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for the scientific community? (Please write a few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not, please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	Yes, this would add to the existing scanty information/literature on cauliflowerers. No. It's better to be "Cauliflower Production and Marketing in Haryana, India" The abstract has no objective of the study as well as the body of the work. The Methodology is the weakest section of the paper. See my comments on the writeup. There is no clear objective of the paper. Almost all the references are local, but adequate. Would be nice to include some foreign references as well to show the author(s) have mastery of the crop topic.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Abstract Section 1. Brief introduction 2. Brief purpose/objective 3. Brief method 4. Brief result, and conclusion. Introduction should include 1. Discuss some historical and current production trend 2. Discuss marketing trends 3. State the objective of the study – what do you want to accomplish? 4. Other existing study Methodology Section 1. How was the survey carried out? 2. How many of the respondents were male? 3. How many of the respondents were female? 4. How many of the respondents were youth? 5. How many of the surveys usable out of 200 6. What were some of the questions in the survey? 7. What were their age differences/groups 8. What were their educational backgrounds? 9. What were their marital statuses? 10. What were their age groups/differences?	

ReviewForm1.7

	Results Aftermakingtheabovechanges,yourresultswillchangesignificantlyandthequalityoftheworkwillimprove significantly.	
--	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Esendugue Greg Fonsah
Department, University & Country	University of Georgia, USA