

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_114738
Title of the Manuscript:	Principles and Applications of Agroecology: A Review
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No. The author wrote the abstract in future continues tense as in the case of proposal, instead of past tense. Also, the method used for the review was not clearly stated.</p> <p>No. The author did not use section numbering style.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Most of the references are not trendy. I suggest that the author should look up for more referenced articles on google scholar, web of science, semantics and so-on for more relevant articles. Also, put intext citation for the case study mentioned in the article.</p> <p>The author should also consider putting a diagram to further explain some of the concept mentioned in the article. For e.g. nutrient cycling and ecosystem services.</p>	<p>Thanks</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>Revision made</p> <p>Noted</p>
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<p>Yes</p>	<p>Thanks</p>
Optional/General comments	<p>The method used for the review should be stated so as to add more essences to the article.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	