

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_114734
Title of the Manuscript:	Unveiling Urban Canines: Leveraging Single-Sight and Sight–Resight Survey for Street Dog Population Estimation and Enhanced Rabies Surveillance in Metropolitan City of Bruhat Bengaluru Mahanagara Palike, Karnataka, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Rabies remains a significant public health concern globally, particularly in regions with large street dog populations. This research focuses on establishing an effective epidemiological monitoring system for rabies and street population dogs in the Metropolitan City of Bruhat Bengaluru Mahanagara Palike (BBMP), Karnataka, India. The study aims to assess the prevalence of rabies, map street dog populations, and implement targeted interventions for disease prevention and control.</p> <p>The article is relevant for scientists and practicing doctors.</p> <p>We recommend changing the name Epidemiological monitoring for Rabies and Street Population Dog in Metropolitan City of Bruhat Bengaluru Mahanagara Palike, Karnataka, India</p> <p>+/- It is necessary to give more data on the results of research (statistics, comparisons, etc.)</p> <p>+/- Add section CONFLICT OF INTEREST</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Add new literature for the last 3-5 years. Expand the list to 17-20 sources. Draw up a list in accordance with the rules of the journal. Add DOI publications</p> <p>Whether the principles of bioethics and animal protection have been observed??</p> <p>INTRODUCTION</p> <p>To give official WHO information and expand country data (statistics). Make more references in the text to relevant new sources</p> <p>METHODOLOGY</p> <p>Change designation (B)</p> <p>Make a clear legend on figure (a) and (b)</p> <p>Results and discussion</p> <p>Put dots in the values (Table 1.)</p> <p>Zoom in on the text in the picture Fig. 6.</p> <p>Put dots in the values (Table 6.) an in text p. 10.</p> <p>CONCLUSIONS</p> <p>Rework the conclusions. Specify the main results obtained in the work (including statistics). Point out the importance of epidemic monitoring of homeless dogs, vaccination against rabies, sterilization, organization of shelters, etc.</p>	<p>The results are elaborated and conflict of interest is added and references sources are added with the DOI</p> <p>Ethical approval was not required for the animal study because this research is on spatial modeling and population estimation of dogs, that cannot link to individual animal subjects.</p> <p>WHO details are added and all the other sections are answered and rephrased according to the authors suggestions</p> <p>Discussion and Conclusion parts are rewritten</p>
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The entire text needs to be checked and corrected by a native English speaker</p>	<p>Checked and Corrected</p>

Review Form 1.7

Optional/General comments	General comment: Needs to minor language revisions. Submit tables after referring to them in texts Please answer all marginal comments	Minor language revisions are made and tables are referred after referring them in text and marginal comments are answered
---------------------------	--	---

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	